

**MINUTES OF THE IOWA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY AUDITORS
ELECTIONS STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING
JANUARY 27, 2011**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introductions.....	2
Legislative Priorities Discussion	2
Conference Call with Floyd County Auditor Gloria Carr	4
Auditors Need Information for Legislative Sessions	6
Legislative Delegation Unwilling to Share Facts During Conference Call.....	7
Voter Identification Incident in Woodbury County	13
Questioning the Implied Difference between Showing Identification and Showing Voter Registration Cards.....	14
Provisional Ballots	14
Update for Fokkena	15
ISACA’s Position Statement for the Public and the Press	18
Voter Identification Bill Timeline	19
ISACA and the Iowa Secretary of State’s Office	20
ISACA bylaws.....	21
Exploratory Committee Member Selection.....	23
Equal Protection Issue	29
Report on the Secretary of State’s Position on Legislative Priorities.....	30
Review of the Process with the Exploratory Committee and the ISACA Calendar.....	33
Questions about Desired Results of the Joint ISACA Meeting.....	34
Election Process Changes.....	35
Duties of the Legislative Liaisons	37
Exploratory Committee Report Deadline	39
I-VOTERS and Precinct Atlas Changes.....	40
Voter Identification Bill Programming Costs.....	42
Comments on the New Administration	43
Elections Standing Committee Closing Comments.....	45

Johnson County Auditor Tom Slockett called the Iowa State Association of County Auditors (ISACA) Elections Standing Committee Meeting to order in the ISAC Headquarters, 501 SW 7th Street, Jensen Conference Room, Des Moines. Elections Standing Committee Members present were: Johnson County Auditor Tom Slockett, Marion County Auditor Jake Grandia, Story County Deputy Auditor Danielle Dunham, Woodbury County Auditor and Recorder Patrick F. Gill, and Floyd County Auditor Gloria Carr joined the meeting at 10:10 a.m. via speakerphone and left the meeting when the conference call ended; absent were: Pottawattamie County Auditor Kristi Everett. Others present were: Black Hawk County Auditor Grant Veeder, Butler County Auditor/2011 ISACA President Holly A. Fokkena, Linn County Auditor Joel Miller, Mills County Auditor/ISACA Vice-President Carol Robertson, Polk County Elections Manager John Chiodo, Polk County Auditor Jamie Fitzgerald, Sac County Auditor Jim Dowling, and Scott County Auditor Roxanna Moritz. .

INTRODUCTIONS

Slockett said there is a full agenda for today. The Iowa Legislature is considering a voter identification bill. ISACA Vice-President/Mills County Auditor Carol Robertson is here, and 2011 ISACA President/Butler County Auditor Holly A. Fokkena is making a presentation at the Iowa State Association of Counties (ISAC) New County Officers' School of Instruction. He said Fokkena will be joining the Elections Standing Committee (Elections Standing Committee) Meeting later. There is a delegation of Iowa County Auditors who has been to Florida, and another delegation, with a slightly different makeup, has been to Indiana, and is on their way back. Those two delegations comprise the ISACA Photo ID Exploratory Committee (Exploratory Committee), and will join the meeting by speakerphone from their van in about five minutes, at 10:15 a.m. Due to the schedule of the Iowa Legislature, the Legislature is considering this voter identification bill legislation at lightning speed, according to the legislative liaisons. It is being debated today, and will be brought up later this evening. He asked if Woodbury County Auditor and Recorder Patrick F. Gill has some information on it. Gill said he heard the topic was to be brought up in the afternoon. There are some things that apparently have been cancelled, so the Iowa Legislature might take it up this afternoon.

Slockett asked everyone to go around the room and introduce themselves and the county they represent and they did so in the following order: Patrick F. Gill, Woodbury County; Roxanna Moritz, Scott County; Jake Grandia, Marion County; Carol Robertson, Mills County; Grant Veeder, Black Hawk County; Jamie Fitzgerald, Polk County; John Chiodo, Polk County; Jim Dowling, Sac County; Dani Dunham, Story County; and Tom Slockett, Johnson County.

LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES DISCUSSION

Slockett said he had envisioned that someone from the Iowa Secretary of State's Office would be at the Elections Standing Committee Meeting. He said they were planning to have someone here. Slockett said he thinks there is a sensitive situation because things have changed dramatically. In the past, the same party occupied the Iowa House of Representatives, the Iowa Senate, and the Governor's seat. The Iowa Secretary of State was a former colleague, who was known to all of the Iowa auditors and had a good working relationship with ISACA members. ISACA members shared a meeting of the minds and had an ambitious agenda of legislative priorities. It would not be fair, nor reasonable to expect, that a new Iowa Secretary of State would have the same legislative priorities as the former Secretary of State who made these legislative priorities. Slockett said he listed the priorities simply for the purpose of determining what issues ISACA members agree and disagree with. He would like to discuss these priorities in a non-confrontational way and does not want to lecture, demagogue, or criticize anyone. The existing priorities have been set by the ISACA organization, after a rather rigorous process. The group will need to decide what to do. Slockett said he does not want to waste time on priorities which have no chance.

Black Hawk County Auditor Grant Veeder asked about the attendance of a representative from the Iowa Secretary of State's Office and wondered if anyone from that office has seen the ISACA priorities and possibly offered any background information on the priorities. Slockett replied yes, Iowa Secretary of State Election Director Sarah Reisetter was involved with ISACA and she told Slockett that the Iowa Secretary of State's Office would try to have a representative at this Elections Standing Committee Meeting. However, she did not guarantee that someone would be here. Veeder asked if Reisetter has all of the proposal statements. Slockett said yes, he distributed those to her as well. He added that Reisetter was involved in the process, so she is aware of most of the proposals. One section covers the priorities that the Iowa Secretary of State had agreed to advocate for in the Iowa Legislature. They had been extremely successful in doing that in the past, but all of that has changed, of course. He said that is one of the reasons he put the whole package together, with all the documentation of the processes used, who made the proposals, which ones were selected, and which ones were rejected. The cover sheet shows the final determination of the ISACA organization, as of July 2010. Hopefully, that will give the Iowa Secretary of State some background information.

Slockett said that he does not care how it is done, but unless someone indicates they want to discuss particular proposals, he does not think it needs to be debated at this point. If ISACA members can figure out existing priorities they are in agreement with, then they can begin to determine other things to accomplish together. He feels that there is a real incentive to work together, because bipartisanship is an ISACA asset. While working with both political parties and the Iowa Secretary of State, if everyone can agree to get something passed, then ISACA can be very helpful as an organization. He said he thinks there is a real need for this, due to the concern over gridlock in the Iowa Legislature. If the Iowa Democrats and Iowa Republicans are going to disagree on everything, then it is going to be difficult for the Iowa Legislature to get things done. If ISACA can come up with things that the Iowa Legislature can work on collaboratively, it will be good for everyone involved and it will reflect well on ISACA.

Gill asked if Slockett has a copy of the meeting minutes from the most recent ISACA Executive Board (Executive Board) Meeting. Slockett said he did have a copy; he recalls that he sent those minutes to Gill. Gill said he does not have a copy. Slockett said it would be good to make those meeting minutes available to everyone. He said he is trying to think if he has the meeting minutes readily available on any of his USB drives.

Linn County Auditor Joel Miller arrived at 10:10 a.m.

Gill said these are the priorities of the Elections Standing Committee, but in the most recent Executive Board Meeting, he thinks there was some action taken regarding how the priorities will be advanced. Slockett said, having just realized that he has the minutes in an email he received from State Representative Mary Mascher, if he can figure out how to get online from this meeting room, then he will retrieve the meeting minutes from the email.

Meeting attendees were unable to gain online access without a password. Jenna, from ISACA, arrived to provide the auditors with the password and online access was established.

Slockett said he had told the Exploratory Committee that the Elections Standing Committee would be available for a conference call at about 10:15 a.m. Slockett provided Floyd County Auditor Gloria Carr's phone number and asked that someone dial the number. He said the Exploratory Committee is currently driving back from Indiana in a van.

CONFERENCE CALL WITH FLOYD COUNTY AUDITOR GLORIA CARR

Carr said hello. Slockett greeted Carr and asked if she could hear him. Carr said yes. Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee is convened. Carr said she can barely hear Slockett. Slockett asked Carr if she could hear him any better. Carr said it was slightly better. Slockett suggested that anyone wishing to speak should walk up close to the phone so they can be heard. He said he knows it could be very difficult to hear with the background noise in a car. The Elections Standing Committee can hear Carr just fine, and the main purpose of the call is to talk to her. Slockett said he thinks the three members of the Exploratory Committee have decided what they want to say, and he asked if that is correct. Carr said yes; she sent Slockett a text message about this. Slockett said he did not receive a text message; he has been busy.

Carr said that the Exploratory Committee's current position is to not talk about the voter ID issue, because they want to write their reports and get information out to people next week. They would then like to meet with the Elections Standing Committee about this issue to discuss it in person rather than on the phone. Direction would be taken from that point on. Slockett said the group is getting a lot of requests from Iowa Legislators for information. The voter ID issue is on their time table and not ISACA's. It will be debated tonight, January 27, 2010. He said Scott County Auditor Roxanna Moritz has a letter representing her personal opinion, and that letter will be read by an Iowa Legislator. He thinks it would be very helpful to everyone to hear from the Exploratory Committee because in Johnson County a Legislative Forum is scheduled for January 29, 2011, for Iowa Legislators who serve any part of Johnson County. The forum is put on by the League of Women Voters of Johnson County, and is broadcast on cable television. It is a high priority event. He said he also received an email from an Iowa Legislator who is a long-time friend and whose father has been a campaign chair on Slockett's campaign committee for many years. This friend asked Slockett what he thought about the voter ID bill. He said he cannot decline to answer that type of question.

Carr said she wrote to her Iowa legislator on January 26, 2011, and she just missed a call from him today. There is a new Iowa Legislator in the House of Representatives, and they have been trying to get in touch with each other. She said he has replied that he has not been very involved in this voter ID issue, so she unfortunately cannot get very much information to him. This Iowa legislator just asked her to respond to him with specific questions that she has.

Carr said she has addressed some issues, such as what considerations are being made for costs, her concern regarding verbiage in the bill, current practices, and some potential for problems with what is written. She has not heard back from this Iowa Legislator yet, but she just sent her response yesterday. She said the Exploratory Committee is now returning from Florida and Indiana. She was not part of the Florida trip, but they have filled her in on some of their findings. She said the Exploratory Committee's position is not in support of the voter ID bill. She said their concerns include how much influence ISACA could have either way with this bill and that the Iowa Legislators will likely be making this decision for everyone. The Exploratory Committee expects this bill to pass in the Iowa House of Representatives today, and they do not know what will happen with the democratic majority Iowa Senate.

Carr said the Exploratory Committee hopes to have their report ready by next week to send to all auditors in Iowa. A meeting is scheduled for February 11, 2011, for the ISACA Legislative Committee (Legislative Committee), the Executive Board, and the Elections Standing Committee to decide if there is a shared position ISACA wants to advance to the Iowa Legislature. At this point, ISACA does not have a position.

Slockett said OK; he does not think there is any sentiment at all for the Elections Standing Committee to take a position today because it is too early. They would like the benefit of the report from the Exploratory Committee. The group does not want to know what the Exploratory Committee's position is. However, it would be really helpful if each of the auditors in the Exploratory Committee could share some of their experiences, what information they have received, and what they are thinking about the issue. He said the Elections Standing Committee understands that the Exploratory Committee is not prejudging what may be included in the report.

Veeder said one way to view this is like the situation with Moritz, who has sent a letter to a representative. The reality of this situation is that many auditors are being contacted by Iowa Legislators, and will be giving their personal opinions on this subject. It would be great to have more information, which the Exploratory Committee has been gathering, so that the auditors can provide more informed answers on their own positions. Veeder said the auditors will not be able to avoid voicing their positions, even if the auditors qualify their positions by indicating that their affiliate has not yet decided its position.

Carr said she has acknowledged to her legislator that there are costs associated with the voter ID bill, which is what she saw in Indiana. She said she does not think the cost is addressed in the current bill. It is known that Iowa Governor Terry Branstad will be tight with State of Iowa dollars. The Exploratory Committee finds it hard to believe that this bill would be funded. She said she told her Iowa Legislator that she would not want the cost of the free identification to be a burden on Floyd County.

Miller said he understands that the Exploratory Committee is hesitant to give an opinion, but he asked the Committee members if they could present some pros and cons

they discovered on their trip. Carr said that since she has only been to Indiana, she would prefer that everyone wait until their report is distributed. Miller said he is not asking for an opinion. He is just asking for some of the pro and con facts associated with voter identification in Florida and Indiana. Slockett said they would like to know the facts and what the Exploratory Committee's observations are. Miller said the auditors will each form their own opinions based upon the facts.

Auditors Need Information for Legislative Sessions

Moritz said she understands the Exploratory Committee's position, but if they are not capable of sharing some of that information, her thought process is that she is going to call the Indiana Secretary of State. The Scott County Auditor's Office staff wants to start framing the conversation in their legislative forums which begin on January 28 and 29, 2011. Therefore, she wants to have the conversation about the costs and cons to the voter ID bill. She said she understands that the Exploratory Committee's report is due on February 2, 2011, and the group does not meet again until February 11, 2011. However, she does not think it is a great idea for individual auditors to start bombarding a few of these states to get the information that the Exploratory Committee has already acquired. There is some privacy regarding who is at the Elections Standing Committee to talk to the Exploratory Committee about it. If Moritz cannot get answers today, then she will go home and try to get the information herself.

Carr said she understands that, but out of respect for the Exploratory Committee, she would ask people to wait until Fokkena has the opportunity to talk about what she knows. Slockett asked if Fokkena has been to Florida and Indiana. Carr said no. Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee is talking to those people who have been there, and he asked if there is any reason to believe that Fokkena would have better information about what happened in Florida and Indiana than the people in Carr's van have. Carr replied probably not. She asked the Elections Standing Committee to hang on for a moment.

Slockett said this phone call is being paid for by the Elections Standing Committee. Quoting former United States President Ronald Reagan, Slockett said, "I paid for this microphone." Miller asked if the voter ID bill is filed and has morphed into Iowa House File 95. Fitzgerald said yes, it is a new version of Iowa House File 8. There is an amendment with additions now, also. Moritz asked if anyone has a copy. Fitzgerald said he can pass that around. Moritz asked if she could just go copy it for everybody. Fitzgerald said yes.

Carr asked if Moritz's legislative forums are this weekend. Moritz said there is a legislative forum with Iowa Legislators in Scott County scheduled on January 28, 2011 and a legislative forum with the public on January 29, 2011. She said Scott County has forums with the Iowa Legislators and with the public at the end of each month and these forums attract 300 to 500 people. She said she wants to make sure to articulate a clear message, and she does not want to be in conflict with ISACA or the Elections Standing Committee, of which she is not a member. Moritz said she will do due diligence to ensure she has accurate information before taking a position. She said she did write a

letter to her Iowa Legislator, which is being shared with all of her Iowa Legislators, in regards to the costs of the identification, the birth certificates, and the additional poll workers. She said the Exploratory Committee may have acquired some of this information on their trip, and she could share that with the Elections Standing Committee.

Moritz said she is not concerned about if and when the voter ID bill passes. She said her concern is about how much the implementation process will cost Scott County. If the process begins as soon as July 2011, Scott County is not prepared for that. Carr said the costs that Moritz just identified are annual recurring costs to Indiana. Indiana is facing the same budget constraints as Iowa. Outreach money is no longer available there. Moritz asked if signature verification is required in Indiana. Carr said there is signature verification on absentee voting. Moritz asked if Carr knows the cost for that and for the training of the poll workers. Carr said the signature verification for absentee voting has been in place in Indiana for over 20 years, therefore, that was not even an issue. Moritz said OK.

Legislative Delegation Unwilling to Share Facts During Conference Call

Carr said she is getting verbal cues from the other people in the van that they would really like everyone to wait until the full report is out next week. Slockett asked if the Elections Standing Committee could talk to the other two ISACA legislative liaisons in the van. Carr asked if they want to talk about this issue. Slockett said the group wants to have a conversation with the legislative liaisons, and he asked if that is permissible. Carr said the other Exploratory Committee members do not want to do a conference call; they would rather meet face-to-face on this issue. Slockett asked if they would then join the Elections Standing Committee meeting. The Iowa Secretary of State is not at the meeting; only auditors are in the room. Carr said they unfortunately cannot meet face-to-face at this point.

Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee is recording the minutes, and this is part of the official meeting. This will all be reported to the auditors of the state that the legislative delegation, the Exploratory Committee which is being funded by the state auditors, will not give out information on their meetings in Florida and Indiana. State auditors are talking to Iowa Legislators, the press, and their communities; they are each elections leaders in their respective communities. They need information and it is safe to say that the auditors are a little disappointed that the Exploratory Committee will not report some of their observations.

Carr said they feel that reporting some of the information is not sufficient, and she wishes the Elections Standing Committee would respect their choice to get all their notes pulled together and then provide the information. She acknowledged that it is frustrating to the state auditors, and that is unfortunate, but the Exploratory Committee expects to get all the details out next week. Slockett said he thinks this is highly unusual. He said he is sorry that the Exploratory Committee members do not feel comfortable with honestly reporting what they have observed. He said they are entitled to that.

Slockett said he thinks the duties of a delegation should be established before they are sent on a mission at taxpayer expense. He said the Elections Standing Committee is not asking for individual opinions; they just want some fact finding, and he is sorry they have to wait for it. Carr said that is what the Exploratory Committee wants to do; they want to pull together all of the facts. She said the Indiana Outreach Manager will be providing some more electronic information. The Exploratory Committee would like to have the opportunity to get all of that information and then provide a report.

Slockett said it is too bad that the Iowa Legislators and the State of Iowa cannot accommodate the Exploratory Committee's schedule because the legislators are moving ahead with this issue. Carr said that is unfortunate. The understanding had been that the Legislature was going to wait until this information was available prior to submitting a bill, but that did not occur as expected, as the Executive Board had been told. Slockett asked if the Executive Board silenced the Exploratory Committee and asked them not to discuss their observations. Carr asked if Slockett was asking about the Executive Board. Slockett said he is asking about either the Executive Board or ISACA President Fokkena. He said Carr keeps referring to Fokkena as the person who should funnel the information to the Elections Standing Committee. Slockett asked if that is Fokkena's wish.

Carr said the Exploratory Committee wants Fokkena to present the information, because Fokkena will be meeting with the Elections Standing Committee in person. Doing this conference call is somewhat awkward. Out of respect for the work the Exploratory Committee has done in Florida and because Carr is filling in as a replacement, she would like to follow the Exploratory Committee's intentions of preparing a good report for everyone before releasing any bits and pieces of information. This is not intended to withhold information, but rather to ensure that the Elections Standing Committee can receive all of the information from both states in a nice format.

Slockett asked if Carr has any concerns that this process might occur after the Iowa Legislature votes on the bill. He asked if Carr sees any reason to share information while the bill is on floor of the Iowa House of Representatives, state newspapers are reporting on this issue, all of the Committee's auditor colleagues are being approached by Iowa Legislators and press representatives, and are speaking at public forums. He asked if the Exploratory Committee has any respect for their position.

Carr said at this point, all of the auditors can tell the media that they have not yet taken a position. Slockett asked if the Exploratory Committee is going to take positions on the facts which they observed. Carr said no, that is not up to them. Slockett said that is all the auditors are asking for; they would rather not have the opinions of the Exploratory Committee, but would like to know what they observed. Carr said that is right, and the Exploratory Committee will provide a detailed report of those observations later. She apologized that the Elections Standing Committee is not hearing what they want to hear, but the Exploratory Committee wants to give out good information. Slockett said if the Exploratory Committee is going to discuss the information and decide on what they observed, then that is not good information. It concerns him that the

Exploratory Committee cannot report what they have seen with their own eyes and heard with their own ears. Carr said it is not that she is not going to report on her observations, but she has not even read her own notes yet. She just has notes scribbled on a piece of paper, and she wants to gather her own thoughts and write those in the notes. After leaving Indiana, she just read the U.S. State Court report on the lawsuits that have resulted in Indiana.

Story County Deputy Auditor Danielle Dunham asked how the legislative liaisons would like the auditors to proceed with the Iowa Legislators. Slockett asked if Carr heard the question. He said Dunham asked what should the auditors tell the Iowa Legislators, the press, and their constituents. Carr said when the report is distributed to the auditors next week, then that report will be made available to the Iowa Secretary of State's Office, the Iowa Governor's Office, and whomever the auditors choose to provide it to.

Moritz asked if the phone calls from their Iowa Legislators should be directed to the Exploratory Committee. Veeder said the Elections Standing Committee should say thank you and move on with the meeting. Carr asked if the auditors are talking to the legislators in the Iowa House of Representatives. Moritz said yes. As of January 26, 2011, the auditors are already receiving phone calls from Iowa Legislators who want information now. Slockett said the legislators in the Iowa Senate are also asking for information. Carr said no, they do not want phone calls from Iowa Legislators while they are traveling.

Carr asked if everyone has reviewed the bill itself. Slockett said there is a new version of the bill that the Elections Standing Committee is just now viewing online. He said Polk County Auditor Jamie Fitzgerald has given a report to the Committee, but he has not had the time to read that. Carr said she was just reading that version of the bill right now, and she has not read through enough to be aware of what has changed from the first round. Slockett said that will likely affect what those other states have done over the last couple of years in terms of legislation. Carr said some auditors believe the Iowa House of Representatives has already decided what they are going to do. Slockett said he is glad Carr knows that. He asked her what the Iowa House is going to do. Carr said the Iowa House has a Republican majority, therefore the House is likely going to pass the bill today, no matter what is said about the bill. Slockett asked if the House is going to pass the new version of the bill. Carr said Slockett's guess is as good as hers; it is a Republican-led House. Slockett said the Legislators in the House do not know what they are going to do. In fact, they are deciding what to do, and are asking state auditors for information to help with their decision-making. Carr said it is very unfortunate that the bill has moved so quickly through the Iowa House of Representatives.

Moritz said the Elections Standing Committee is wasting time by being on the phone with the legislative liaisons. Slockett asked if the Exploratory Committee wants to remain on speaker phone for the duration of the meeting. He said Carr is a member of the Elections Standing Committee, so she is entitled to do this. He said she may not be able to hear everything because not everyone will be able to walk over to the phone when

they want to speak. He said it does not sound like Carr wants to provide the Elections Standing Committee with any information. However, the Elections Standing Committee will provide the legislative liaisons with information, if they want to listen. Carr said she will tell everyone just what she has emailed her own Iowa Legislator about regarding the voter ID issue. There will be costs, and a concern is who will pick up the costs. She said her county, Floyd County, cannot take on the burden of costs for free identification.

Question Regarding Proposal on Write-In Votes

Carr asked Slockett if he has the proposal regarding write-in votes available to him. Slockett said yes, it is on the Elections Standing Committee Meeting agenda. Carr said it was an issue that one of the State of Iowa secretaries was going to take on. Slockett said Fokkena was interested in pursuing the reduction of absentee envelopes for mailed ballots. Carr said this is the proposal that the Iowa Secretary of State's Office was going to take on. It is a proposal in regard to counting write-in votes and when those write-in votes are counted. Slockett asked if Carr is talking about counting the votes after the election in each county auditor's office, which would involve having an absentee and special voter's precinct count all of the write-ins after Election Day. Carr said right. She said she wrote that one. It is something the Exploratory Committee has talked about on their trip over the last couple of days. She asked Slockett to refresh her memory about whether there is a formula on how the auditors will justify whether the write-in votes need to be counted or not counted. She thinks that the direction might be to count the votes if there is an impact that would change the results of an election. Dunham said she wrote that guideline with Carr. It says, if the write-in votes do not have an effect on the outcome of the race, then the votes would not be counted. The guideline was written to be very generic.

Carr asked if the Elections Standing Committee has a position on whether something more measurable should be included in these guidelines, such as a percentage threshold. She said someone in the Iowa Senate is taking on the bill, and she is not sure if anyone in the Iowa House is on it. She said one of the legislative liaisons just mentioned that it might be something that the auditors will want to tie to some measurable criteria. She asked for thoughts from the Elections Standing Committee.

Polk County Deputy Auditor John Chiodo said he thought the Official Election Canvass write-in vote percentage has been updated from 2% to 5%, in either 2010 or 2009. He asked if it would not be logical to keep the percentages the same. Carr said she thinks they all were in the Official Election Canvass. It is just what the auditors reported as scatterings, which are write-in names. She asked if that what Chiodo is referring to. Chiodo said right, the write-in votes are reported as scattering. If the tally is over a 5% threshold, he thinks the write-in names must be in the Official Election Canvass. After the polls close, a write-in candidate would have to get a certain percentage of votes to have their write-in votes recorded, instead of writing in all of the write-in names in the tally book. If the candidate does not achieve that percentage threshold, then their votes are considered to be among the scattering tallies. Carr asked if that direction is written in the proposal. Chiodo said he has no idea. Slockett said Carr

wrote the proposal. Carr said she did. She said she thinks the proposal was pretty simple. She thinks it said the votes would only be counted if there is a change in the outcome of the election.

Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee Meeting has an agenda and he would prefer to follow the prepared agenda, instead of jumping to Carr's priorities, if she does not mind. He invited Carr to listen in and to speak up. Carr said she only meant to indicate that ISACA Legislative Liaison and Cerro Gordo County Auditor Ken Kline and ISACA Legislative Liaison and Jasper County Auditor Dennis Parrott have found legislators to host all of the Iowa Secretary of State issues as bills within the Iowa Legislature. Slockett said the Iowa auditors and the ISAC Steering Committee should consider whether to pursue the same legislative priorities, now that things have changed so remarkably. Carr said from what she understands, when ISACA had passed those as legislative priorities, the Executive Board said in December 2010 or January 2011 that they do want to advance those priorities. She said the Executive Board does not want to drop these issues. She said this might be something the Elections Standing Committee will want to discuss with Fokkena, when she arrives. That was a topic discussed by the Executive Board in December 2010 or January 2011. Slockett said since ISACA is meeting as the body of the whole later, then that would be something to consider.

Slockett said hopefully ISACA will have more information from Iowa Secretary of State Matt Schultz about what Schultz is interested in supporting, before ISACA makes that decision. He said that would be Slockett's own opinion on the matter. Carr asked what Slockett means when he says "making that decision." Slockett said ISACA would revisit whether to pursue the same priorities when ISACA knows it is a big waste of time, in many cases. Previously, ISACA thought their legislative priorities were live rounds, and that there was a realistic possibility of getting all of the priorities accomplished. He said he thinks ISACA should adjust to the changing circumstances.

Moritz said city vacancies are a perfect example, which is one of ISACA's top legislative priorities. She said she spoke to Schultz; that is one of his issues. Therefore, that issue may not need to be a priority, as Schultz will support the viewpoint of ISACA. Carr said Kline and Parrott had found legislators to work with those issues, based on the Executive Board Meeting in December 2010. Slockett asked if the Exploratory Committee is going to put that in the report or if Carr is willing to talk about that now. Carr said she does not really know any more information about that. She said Kline and Parrott may be willing to speak to the Elections Standing Committee about that topic right now. She said she can ask them if they would participate in this conference call.

Slockett said Kline and Parrott may want to write something about this topic at a later point, and it would be a shame to give the Elections Standing Committee direct information. Carr said she thinks the decision of whether to pursue those legislative priorities was a decision of the Executive Board. She said the Executive Board has made that decision, so the Elections Standing Committee may want to talk to Fokkena about that. Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee will do that. He said they would like to proceed with the meeting, and would like the Exploratory Committee to

participate, if they are interested in doing so. He said it is fine if the Exploratory Committee is not interested, but he thinks the Elections Standing Committee should try to proceed with the meeting in a more organized way and asked if Carr is OK with that. After a momentary period of silence, Slockett said the call could have dropped. The call is ended for some reason; it is a cell phone and the delegation is driving.

Moritz asked if someone from the Elections Standing Committee could clarify something for her, since she is a new auditor. She asked, if the Exploratory Committee is authorized as an organization to represent ISACA, doesn't the information they gather come back to the Elections Standing Committee. Slockett said the ISACA bylaws deal with that, and he asked if Gill wants to talk about this. Gill said that is why he wanted to get the minutes from the recent Executive Board Meeting. Gill said Moritz is referring to a meeting of the Executive Board, when some of the legislative priorities were rearranged. The people that were at the Executive Board meeting can comment on it. He said that is what he wanted to talk about and that is what Item A on the Elections Standing Committee Meeting agenda refers to. The Secretary of State is not present at this meeting, but the ISACA President and the ISACA legislative liaisons met with the Iowa Secretary of State's legislative people. He said apparently there has been a reordering of the legislative priorities. Moritz asked if the Executive Board has the authority to do that without the entire body of ISACA. Gill said the Executive Board is supposed to do that after consulting with the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee. He said that is how he understands the bylaws.

Slockett said his understanding of the bylaws is that the ISACA legislative liaisons are authorized to have a joint meeting of the Elections Standing Committee and the Legislative Committee to propose changes and to deal with legislative changes. Any recommendations made are then approved by the Executive Board. Gill said that is correct. Slockett said that is how the process is written in the bylaws, but that is not what has happened.

Moritz asked if the Elections Standing Committee could go online to the ISACA website and get a copy of the Executive Board Meeting minutes. Gill said they should be able to do this. Slockett asked where the meeting minutes would be online. Gill said on the ISACA website. Slockett asked if it can be accessed through the link from the ISAC website. Moritz said it is accessed through the ISACA website. Gill said someone could go from the ISAC website to the ISACA website, and then they could get the minutes. Slockett said he can do that.

Slockett said the last Executive Board Meeting listed on the website is September 17, 2010. Gill asked if the website has the meeting minutes the Elections Standing Committee is looking for. Dunham said the meeting minutes should be found under the January 5, 2011 meeting. Slockett said January 7, 2011 is the top meeting listed, but says the meeting minutes are for September 17, 2010. Dunham said the Executive Board has not updated the meeting minutes. Slockett said the meeting minutes for the meeting are not online, unless the meeting minutes have the incorrect heading. Moritz said the

meeting minutes have the former ISACA President's name listed, which would be correct for September 17, 2010.

Slockett said he may have another way to get this information, if he can access his Microsoft Office Outlook email. Dunham said she has a copy of it in her email. Slockett asked if anyone recalls if Fokkena sent the meeting minutes under her own email address. Dunham said ISACA Secretary-Treasurer and Clinton County Auditor Eric Van Lancker sent the email. Slockett asked if the meeting minutes were found under the ISAC website. Dunham said she thinks the meeting was on January 5, 2011, so the email may have been sent on January 6, 2011 or January 7, 2011.

Slockett said he found an email containing the meeting minutes. Gill said the minutes were included in an attachment of the email. He said maybe Slockett could forward those minutes to ISAC. Moritz said she wishes Slockett could print copies for the Elections Standing Committee members. Gill asked if Slockett has a USB drive to save the minutes to. Slockett said he does have a USB drive, but he can also email the minutes to the group.

Dunham said there was no hurry for Carr to call back. Gill said he hopes the phone call did not get cut off for a bad reason. He said Slockett should send the minutes to ISAC, and not ISACA. Moritz suggested that Slockett send the minutes to ISAC Wellness Coordinator and Administrative Assistant Jenna Kunstle. She said Kunstle is the point person to contact at ISAC. Veeder asked if the Elections Standing Committee Meeting is still being recorded. Elections Standing Committee Members said yes. Slockett said the meeting could be put into recess, if the group requests.

Voter Identification Incident in Woodbury County

Gill said he recently had an interesting experience with voter identification. A Morningside College student tried to register to vote. He said he thinks this student was trying to establish himself as a citizen. However, when the student registered to vote, he did not provide a driver's license number or a Social Security number. Therefore, the registration was pending. Meanwhile, the student was causing some problems at Morningside College and someone remembered that this student had registered to vote during a voter registration drive, and reported the student to the Federal authorities. The Federal authorities arrested the student and took him to Dakota County in Nebraska, which is a location where Federal prisoners are held. This student went through the judicial process, and the judge found him to be guilty. An agreement was reached that determined the student would serve time, after which he would be turned over to immigration authorities and deported back to his home country of Germany.

Marion County Auditor Jake Grandia asked what the student was found guilty of. Gill said the student was found guilty of lying about his citizenship in registering to vote. He said it was an example of the process working up front. The student's voter registration was listed as pending, and the student would have had to show identification if he came to a polling place. Gill said when he met with the Iowa Secretary of State

about this issue Schultz's big concern with voter identification was illegal immigrants voting. Gill said Schultz also told him that illegal immigrants are using other people's driver's licenses and identification, so a voter identification bill will not prevent this from happening; it does not solve the issue. Dowling said the only thing that will do is catch the other person using the identification when he goes to vote. Moritz asked can a person who is not a United States citizen get a driver's license. Gill said that is what needs to be investigated to determine how it occurs.

Questioning the Implied Difference between Showing Identification and Showing Voter Registration Cards

Chiodo said he is going to give his personal opinion, which he has been thinking about since the voter identification bill has been drawn up. Currently, Polk County sends out voter registration cards to everybody. When redistricting occurs, then a new card must be sent to everybody. This costs approximately \$0.20 per person. Dunham said it costs \$0.37 cents. Chiodo said if the card is sent back as undeliverable, then the person's voter registration is changed to inactive. If that person comes to a polling location, then they must show photo identification. He said everybody in Polk County gets a voter registration card, and does not have to pay for it. Yet, Polk County is not requiring people to show that card when they go to vote. He said he does not understand why the State of Iowa would require someone to show photo identification when the voter is not required to show a voter registration card. Also, if the person does not have the means to get the photo identification, the counties are going to have to pay for it. Essentially, this means that the counties are double charging themselves. He said an Iowa Legislator called him yesterday to ask his opinion on this.

Fokkena arrived at 11:00 a.m. and Slockett welcomed her to the meeting.

Chiodo said the Iowa Legislators do not even know the voting process. Moritz said from her point of view, the Iowa Legislators do not even know if fraud is occurring. She said she asked the Iowa Secretary of State's Office for information on documented cases of voter fraud from the last ten years. She said if ISACA had this information, then they could determine how the new language is going to impact those specific cases. This issue is all about people's perception and understanding of voting, which is what she was trying to tell the Exploratory Committee on the phone. Auditors want to be able to frame the conversation, so that the auditors can educate their respective constituencies about what the voter identification bill will or will not do. It is all fine and dandy to pass a law and say the process will work because people will show identification. However, the process is already set up to handle some of those instances up front. She said she thinks illegal immigrants can still get types of driver's licenses or identification without a picture. She said it would be nice to know what those circumstances are.

Provisional Ballots

Chiodo said a lot of the questions the Exploratory Committee were not answering for Elections Standing Committee are simple questions related to cost and other

observations, which are the questions that the public will ask. He said the Elections Standing Committee members may want to ask some questions before the Exploratory Committee writes their report, to ensure that certain topics are included in the report. They may want to have the Exploratory Committee answer some questions about provisional ballots. For example, the Exploratory Committee could report on how county auditors in Indiana and Florida handle provisional ballots. In the last four years, Iowa has tried so hard to move away from using provisional ballots. There may be tight election races in an Iowa House of Representatives or Iowa Senate district that requires auditors to wait until the next Monday to count provisional ballots. Next, attorneys and other people may want to get involved to debate which ballots count and which do not, and the issue will eventually go to court. He said this scenario will eventually happen with provisional ballots.

Chiodo said he is curious how it will work if a person does not have voter identification and then must submit a provisional ballot. He asked how this would be handled. Moritz said there are costs involved, which is what she said in her letter. She said in Scott County, this change will require at least one more poll worker at every site and that amounts to about \$10,000 in a General Election. It will be a lot of work to recruit 63 new poll workers, in addition to the poll workers who are going to quit. The training process will also be a lot of work. She asked if the Iowa Secretary of State and the Iowa Legislators will give all of the counties additional money for training. She said training is one of the costs of this new system. Gill said the recess is over. Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee Meeting has not been recessed.

Update for Fokkena

Fokkena asked for a brief update of what the Elections Standing Committee has been discussing prior to her arrival. Slockett said he would like to do that, which is what he was going to do as soon as the previous conversation ended. Slockett said he had an extensive conversation with Carr the day before, but does not feel comfortable representing her conversation. Therefore, he called Carr to ask if the Exploratory Committee would be willing to talk to the Elections Standing Committee via speakerphone, since they are all riding in the same van. He said Carr agreed to this, so Slockett called them. However, the Exploratory Committee had subsequently decided that all information has to come from Fokkena. The Exploratory Committee would not provide any information about their observations in Florida and Indiana. Slockett said the Elections Standing Committee was anxiously waiting for Fokkena to arrive.

Fokkena said she may not make the Elections Standing Committee feel any better. She said she understands why the Exploratory Committee would not want to try to give good factual information while driving down the road, without a chance to look at their notes. She said she has not been aware that the Elections Standing Committee spoke to the Exploratory Committee on the phone. However, she has given a deadline of February 2, 2011 for the Exploratory Committee's report to be completed and turned in. The report is not to make recommendations; it is a bipartisan and factual report. Therefore, the Exploratory Committee will not include recommendations in the report. On the other

hand, if they have recommendations to make, those recommendations will be included in a separate document. Fokkena said she does not want any recommendations to be a part of the original report.

Fokkena said the other county auditors will have this report as soon as she receives it. She said the timing of the current Elections Standing Committee Meeting is unfortunate because the Exploratory Committee is on the road and cannot provide the Elections Standing Committee with any information at this point. She said they have already created a rough draft related to the Florida portion of the trip, but she has not seen it yet. She said she just knows the members of the Exploratory Committee have been exchanging notes, and she is assuming a document explaining the observations in Florida is almost ready.

Fokkena said she understands the other auditors' concerns, and she also wants to know how these reports will turn out. However, this is why she called for a meeting on February 11, 2011 in Des Moines. The entire body of ISACA will then have time to look at this report, absorb its information, and prepare any comments. Everyone can then discuss this in great detail and at great length at the February 11, 2011 meeting. Until that time, there will be no official position on any of this.

Fokkena said she already knows how divisive this bill is. She said she knows it will be a problem for large counties in Iowa, and that there are other counties that may not have a position on this issue. She said it will probably be very difficult for the auditors to take a position on this because the positions would likely be opposing. She said she does not think auditors have ever gone against each other like this, and she hopes they do not do this now. Possibly, the only way for auditors to remain cohesive and to preserve good working relationships is for ISACA to take no position on this issue. She said this may be a premature suggestion on her part, but that is how she is currently thinking. Everyone will have time to address all their issues on February 11, 2011. She said there are issues, and this is an important topic, so ISACA members will have time to discuss it.

Fokkena said she has no idea what the Exploratory Committee is finding on their trip. She said they have not told her anything, so she has no ability to inform Slockett or the other auditors on what they have discovered. She said she has not asked the Exploratory Committee to inform her of their findings, and she does not have time for them to call her everyday to report their findings. If the Elections Standing Committee has some generic questions and the Exploratory Committee feels they can answer them from their van, then she does not have a problem with this because she has not put a gag order on them. However, she said the Elections Standing Committee is putting the Exploratory Committee in a bad position because they still have to collaborate and put their notes together in some kind of a cohesive format. She said if the individuals in the van can hear her and are comfortable talking about it, then they can. Slockett said the Exploratory Committee is not currently on the telephone, they hung up. Fokkena said she thought she was giving some guidance to the Exploratory Committee. She said she did not know anything about the Elections Standing Committee's earlier conversation

with Carr, and she has not spoken to the Exploratory Committee, and she did not issue a gag order to anyone.

Slockett asked other people to share their opinions and concerns with Fokkena. He said he will add in any questions that come to his mind as well. Moritz said one of her concerns is that nobody from a large county was on the Exploratory Committee, and she did email Fokkena about this. She heard that two people had been asked, but there was no delineation of why this did not continue down the line. She said she does not understand why there were no representatives from large counties on the Exploratory Committee. Scott County meets cohesively with its Iowa Legislators on January 28, 2011, and will then meet with the public on January 29, 2011. She said 300 to 500 members of the public may come to the meeting. She said she was hoping that the Exploratory Committee could share some facts about what she knows they have discovered. Some of the reasons the members of the Exploratory Committee gave for not providing their findings included that they did not go to both Indiana and Florida, and they do not want to speak for the whole Committee. However, the people on the Exploratory Committee do know certain things. For example, they know how much the State of Indiana or the State of Florida said this bill has cost them, and they know how many extra poll workers have been required. She said these are the types of things the auditors want to know about, in order to have conversations with their communities. Fokkena asked if Moritz is asking for this information by tomorrow. Moritz said yes. Her Legislator is reading a letter on the floor of the House of Representatives today that Moritz wrote to her. She said similar people have spoken to Legislators, and those Legislators were going to speak on their behalf today.

Moritz said the voter identification bill is a hot topic for auditors. She said this bill will likely pass in the House, and then will go to the Senate where the true discussion will occur. It would be nice to disclose some of the Exploratory Committee findings to the public before that discussion. That way, the Senate would be aware of some of ISACA's issues and concerns. Moritz said she is not totally opposed to voter identification, but she would like the Iowa Legislators to step back to determine where the fraud is in Iowa, and determine what this new legislation will change. If the legislation changes as of July 1, 2011, one of her concerns is that the voter registrations in Scott County are not scanned. She asked who is paying for the verification process that the Iowa Legislature is talking about. Who is paying for the training and the additional poll workers. These things need to be discussed in a public forum so that people understand the auditors are not just saying they are unsupportive of the voter identification bill. She said the auditors just want to know the outcome of this bill if it is implemented. She said the Exploratory Committee did not feel like they could share any of that information today.

Fokkena asked at what time tomorrow Moritz would expect some information from the Exploratory Committee, if it was even possible. Moritz said she is not going to wait until tomorrow, which is what she told the Exploratory Committee. As soon as she leaves this Elections Standing Committee Meeting, she is going to call different states to ask for information. She said to the Exploratory Committee that in lieu of the Exploratory Committee not wanting to give facts today, she is not going to wait for the

information. She said she is accountable to Scott County, and she is going to start garnering the information for herself.

Fokkena reminded people that when they speak individually, they are speaking both as individuals and as auditors representing their counties. She asked that the auditors not speak for ISACA because none of the auditors have that privilege at this time. Slockett said he is not aware of that ever being a problem. Fokkena said she is not trying to start a fight; she is just making a statement. Slockett said in 34 years, he never remembers someone being chastised for stating a position of ISACA, rather than their own opinion. Fokkena asked for people not to take offense to her statement. Slockett said he is not offended. He said this is a non-problem as far as he is concerned. Fokkena said the individual auditors just need to remember that they are part of a bigger group.

Fokkena said she cannot do a lot about getting the Exploratory Committee to give information to the auditors. However, it sounds like Moritz and the other auditors have a plan. Moritz said that is what the Elections Standing Committee told the Exploratory Committee. She said two Iowa Representatives and an Iowa Senator called her on January 26, 2011. Before she begins making comments, she wants to have factual data to provide as support. Fokkena agreed, and said someone from the newspaper called her also. Moritz recognized that she is stating her opinion, but she is representing a large county and she is going to try to acquire that information. Fokkena said she does not expect anyone to not speak how they want to; she just does not want auditors to say they are speaking for ISACA.

ISACA's Position Statement for the Public and the Press

Slockett said he wants to make sure people understand what Moritz is saying. This is not an extraordinary meeting that she needed information for; these public forums are regular occurrences for some auditors. He said he started getting emails and phone calls from Iowa Legislators and the press immediately. The auditors are expected to have answers. Auditors do not say they have no comment, or say that they will respond after they have carefully typed up their notes. That does not look very good in the newspapers.

Fokkena said Moritz's situation is slightly different; she is actually going to have a true conversation with the people that are making decisions. She said she hopes the rest of the auditors would respond to questions in the same way she responded to the reporter from Iowa City on January 26, 2011. She said she told the reporter that ISACA is taking this issue very seriously and is diligently researching it. ISACA has sent an Exploratory Committee to both Florida and Indiana to bring back a bipartisan factual report about how those states have implemented voter identification, the problems, and the benefits. She told the reporter that the Exploratory Committee is supposed to bring this report back to ISACA by February 2, 2011. Until then, ISACA remains undecided on this issue. She said that is ISACA's current statement.

Fokkena said she is not trying to withhold information. However, as of right now, she does not have anything to give. The rest of the auditors can tell the press that as of right now, ISACA has people looking into this issue, ISACA knows it is a serious issue, and the auditors want to be involved in this, but ISACA is waiting for the factual report. She said she understands that does not help Moritz at all.

Voter Identification Bill Timeline

Polk County Auditor Jamie Fitzgerald asked why there is such hurry in doing this. County auditors received an email on January 10, 2011 from Fokkena saying she had talked to the Secretary of State and now it is like this place is burning. Ten days later, the Iowa Legislators passed the bill out of committee and are going to pass it out of the Iowa House of Representatives today. He asked if the Iowa Secretary of State's Office or the Iowa Legislators have had any contact with Fokkena regarding the speed of this bill and why this needs to be one of the first bills the Iowa Legislature passes. Fokkena said she cannot answer that because ISACA was shocked. Veeder said everyone was blindsided. Fokkena agreed.

Fokkena said Kline, Parrott, and she met with Iowa Secretary of State's Legislative Liaison Doug Struyk, Iowa Secretary of State Elections Deputy Mary Mosiman, and Reisetter. The group met to go over the document that was approved during the summer of 2010, which listed all of the items ISACA had determined as legislative priorities. She said Slockett has list and she believes it is the same list he included in his email. ISACA members wanted to know Schultz's position on the proposals that former Secretary of State Michael Mauro said he was going to champion for ISACA. ISACA members wanted to know if there was any chance that Schultz was going to go forward on any of those. She said the group went through the ISACA legislative priorities one-by-one. Much like the conversation when the Executive Board met with Schultz in Coralville, at the end of the conversation the group was informed that Schultz will see that a voter identification bill is passed this year. If it does not happen this year, then it will definitely happen next year. The ISACA members said they had already been discussing what to do if this bill is on deck. It was determined that ISACA needs to do exactly what some ISACA members did in years past. Those members went to Oregon and Washington and looked at the all vote by mail proposal that Iowa Governor Chet Culver was recommending at the time. ISACA had decided to go and look into this by getting a factual report put together.

Fokkena said during the Coralville meeting, when the group told Struyk that ISACA had already started the conversation about making these trips, Struyk indicated that he knew he could speak for Schultz in saying that they would delay putting forth the voter identification bill for two weeks, in order to give ISACA enough time to visit Florida and Indiana and to prepare a report. The Secretary of State's Office wanted ISACA's report first. Fokkena said she told them no, ISACA is an association and these people are going on a trip for the association's benefit, not the Iowa Secretary of State's benefit. She said she told Struyk that when the report is ready, it will be given to every auditor and then to the Secretary of State, as well as any Iowa Legislator who asks for it. The Iowa

Secretary of State's Office led those ISACA members to believe they had two weeks lead time. That is why ISACA rushed, and before anyone knew it, the voter identification bill had been put forth and a rush was put on it. No one knows why either. None of the ISACA members were happy because they felt blindsided by Schultz.

Fokkena said the auditors would be very surprised to know that she, of all people, had said not to jump to any conclusions. She told everyone to try and give Schultz the benefit of the doubt because she thinks ISACA got two different stories: one story from Mosiman and another story from Struyk. She said she does not know if this was intentional. Veeder asked what the two stories are. Fokkena said one story was that the Iowa Secretary of State's Office did not know anything about it. It goes on to say that when Parrott asked for a copy of that bill, he was told no. The other story is that Schultz knew nothing about this bill being entered. She said she is trying really hard to take the high road because ISACA has to have a relationship with Schultz, and they are not off to a very good start.

Miller said he told Fokkena that he talked to Iowa Representative Renee Schulte on January 21, 2011. Schulte is the sponsor of the bill in the Iowa House of Representatives. He said he told Schulte that he thought the Iowa Legislature was going to wait for a couple of weeks. He said Schulte told him she was given the bill on January 19 or January 20, 2011, and that the leadership told her to run with this bill. It came out of nowhere. He said Schulte said she found out after the fact that ISACA was sending a team to review this issue and that she did not know anything about it. He said Schulte told him that she has been getting scolded constantly since she was given this, but she is doing what the leadership told her to do. He said he told Schulte that ISACA is trying to prepare information from facts on this. ISACA thought they had time to get their report back to the Iowa Legislators, but it looks like someone decided to do it differently. He said Schulte did not tell him who in leadership did it; Schulte just said she was told to do it and she was following directions, which is why it is there. Last week the Iowa Legislature was talking about having a public hearing, but Schulte told him that the paperwork did not get filed in time to get a public hearing for this week. Now, ISACA is talking as if a public hearing is on the board for today. Fokkena said it is up today, this afternoon. Miller said that is what Schulte said. He said he talked with Iowa House Speaker Kraig Paulsen before the 2011 Iowa Legislative Session even began. He said Paulsen asked him about this. He said he relayed some of his high level concerns that he had with it. He said he did not get the impression it was a priority, but obviously that has changed.

ISACA AND THE IOWA SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE

Fokkena said since then, she has had a telephone conversation with Schultz. She said Schultz indicated that it was a mistake, which is about all she got from him. She voiced her concerns to Schultz that ISACA felt like the rug had been pulled out from under them. Also, ISACA was under the impression that they had two weeks to give Schultz their report and work out some kinks, if there were any. She said Schultz told her it was a mistake. She said Schultz knows he has gotten off on the wrong foot with ISACA.

Fokkena said she does not know where she stands with Schultz, and they are all guessing where they stand at this point. ISACA has to work with Schultz. She said Schultz indicated to her that if the auditors would register as opposed to a voter identification bill, then he promises that ISACA will have a seat at the table when it comes to implementation. She said she would hope ISACA would not go there, but instead could just let the chips fall where they may. She said she will not let Schultz forget that he has made that promise. At the end of the day, bad law has been passed before, yet somehow the county auditors have always figured out how to work around it and make it function in the counties. She said she has not formed a decisive opinion on this yet.

Veeder said another mistake might happen though. Fokkena said this is pretty scary, but right now she does not want to go in there with guns blazing; she said she thinks that is inappropriate. Fitzgerald said he does not begrudge Schultz for moving his priorities; he is fine with that. Elections have consequences and that is the way it goes. He said he is interested in finding out whether ISACA got double crossed because it is hard to go back to the well and ask for help with priorities. Fokkena said the Iowa Secretary of State's Office staff said no to pretty much all of ISACA's priorities.

ISACA BYLAWS

Moritz said as a new person, she understands that in all of the ISACA meetings she would not know who was a Republican and who was a Democrat because it is all very nonpartisan. She asked, if there was a majority of county auditors that opposed this bill and it was overwhelming opposed, then why not have ISACA move forward with it in that regard. Fokkena said she thinks she would stress the fact that she was voicing her personal opinion because the bylaws indicate that after ISACA votes on the legislative priorities after the summer conference, the Executive Board votes on anything after that. She said she cannot act alone, which is part of why she decided that it is worth having an ISACA meeting on January 11, 2011. She said she knows there are strong opinions out there and that ISACA members want to be able to stand up and be heard. If everyone in this room is just mad as hell and does not want to do this, then it is going to be pretty hard for the Executive Board to vote something different. She said she does not make that determination, the Executive Board does. She asked Veeder if she was correct in saying that. Veeder said he does not have the bylaws in front of him and he is not going to pretend he does. Slockett said that is the way he reads the bylaws. He said the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee make recommendations. Then, the final decision is made by the Executive Board.

Fokkena said she has instructed the two ISACA legislative liaisons to arrange a meeting with the Elections Standing Committee, as well as the Legislative Committee prior to the January 11, 2011 meeting. If there are going to be recommendations, then that is the time for ISACA members to make those recommendations. She does not feel that the Exploratory Committee should be the ones making recommendations, because they are bringing back the facts to ISACA.

Gill said he thinks the leadership did take the ball with the Iowa Legislature. The leadership understood that they needed to act quickly on this bill, before the opposition for this was put together. When Schultz came to Sioux City, he talked with Gill. He said Schultz was not happy that ISACA had decided to appoint an Exploratory Committee. Fokkena said really. Gill said yes, Schultz told him it was a nice trip for somebody to go to Florida in the middle of winter.

Gill asked Fokkena about a comment she made regarding Schultz having made his position on voter identification pretty clear after the Executive Board met with Schultz after the fall school. Gill said that Fokkena said that Schultz said there was going to be a voter identification bill. Fokkena said ISACA Vice-President and Mills County Auditor Carol Robertson was there. Robertson said yes, Schultz was very adamant about that. Fokkena said Schultz was very defensive at that meeting. Robertson agreed. Fokkena said it was like someone had previously told Schultz that ISACA was the enemy. Robertson agreed. She reported that upon entering the room Schultz said he knew that this was not a pleasant place to be.

Gill said the way he reads the bylaws and the procedures, when ISACA talks about legislative things that have changed after the summer meeting, then ISACA should react to things. If ISACA knows that a voter identification bill is going to be introduced, then the ISACA legislative liaisons should meet with the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee. That is the procedure the bylaws say should be used. He said ISACA members did not hear anything until the Exploratory Committee was formed. As far as minutes, that is what ISACA is looking at now, because there is a reordering of the legislative priorities.

Gill said he said he does not know if there was an additional meeting that took place sometime after the summer meeting. Robertson said in that meeting, Schultz said he would work with ISACA. She said she told Schultz that ISACA has a lot of concerns and questions regarding implementation. She said that Schultz said he might not make it a priority this year, but it will be a priority at one time. She said Schultz told her that is what he ran on and that is what he will do. She said Schultz said he will work with and listen to ISACA the very best that he can. She said Schultz indicated to the Executive Board that the voter identification bill was not something he was going push forward right away, and that he would work with them on a timeframe for it.

Gill said he understands that, but he is still going back to the ISACA bylaws. He said he believes that ISACA should have started talking, based on what occurred at that time. Once the Executive Board and the ISACA legislative liaisons met with Schultz, it gives ISACA the indication that things were going to change. Then, the bylaws should have been enacted. The Executive Board should have started meeting with the Elections Standing Committee and the Legislative Committee. Maybe ISACA would not have been in this position now. Gill said ISACA talked with the Exploratory Committee of ISACA members this morning, and he is frustrated too. Something occurred and ISACA got blindsided. The Exploratory Committee was appointed and now the chosen ISACA members will not even talk to or give factual information to the Elections Standing

Committee. He said the Elections Standing Committee was not asking for opinions or anything, but was only asking for a little bit of information to discuss today. ISACA is dealing with the speed of the legislature. Regardless of how it occurred, ISACA members are here and are talking about it today, and Gill said he is disappointed that the Exploratory Committee could not give a little bit of factual information about what they had found in Florida or Indiana.

Exploratory Committee Member Selection

Gill said if ISACA would have followed the bylaws, then the auditors would be in a better position at this point. ISACA has already discussed this. Fokkena said yes, they have. She said she would imagine that the entire group in the room knows what Gill is talking about. She asked if the group discussed this before she got there. Several Elections Standing Committee members said no. Fokkena said OK. She clarified that Gill is indicating that he feels Fokkena, as ISACA President, should not have appointed members of ISACA to the Exploratory Committee. She asked Gill if that is not what he is talking about. Gill said no, he was not saying that Fokkena did not have the ability. He said he thinks the ISACA legislative liaisons are the members who are supposed to call the meeting with those people. He said that is what it says in the ISACA bylaws. Slockett said yes, that is what it says. Fokkena clarified that Gill is not questioning who she sent. Gill said no, not at all. Fokkena said OK.

Slockett said he thinks if larger counties were included, then they would understand the necessity for providing information back to ISACA members. The makeup of the Exploratory Committee could have included people who would be more sensitive to the needs of larger counties. He said he feels that Schultz alluded to a trip to Florida in the middle of winter. It does not help when the people who are doing the fact finding refuse to talk about it. He thinks that the Exploratory Committee, speaking as individuals, should report to the rest of ISACA. Slockett said he thinks it would be embarrassing if ISACA members seek information from the states that the Exploratory Committee has just been to only because the Exploratory Committee will not give information to the other auditors. In the future, when people are going on a trip funded by ISACA, they should be informed that they will need to communicate if there is an opportunity and it is convenient. ISACA is in a situation the members do not want to be in. ISACA is not in control and would not have put up this bill when it was put up by the Iowa Legislature. However, ISACA is stuck with it and receives a lot of questions. He said he was trying to make the point with Moritz's situation that public forums are regular occurrences for a lot of counties, and probably with smaller counties too. He said Johnson County has a forum scheduled on January 29, 2011 too.

Fitzgerald said he was included and asked to go on the trip. Slockett said that is good. Fitzgerald said it was not like the big counties were excluded, but the timing just did not work out for him. Fokkena said she would assume that it was offered to Veeder as well. Moritz said Fokkena told her that a couple of auditors from larger counties were also asked, such as Veeder and Fitzgerald. She said that was the end of the email from Fokkena. She said her natural thought process was that the next people down the line

would be asked, which would be auditors from the next larger counties: Miller, Moritz, Gill, and Slockett. Fokkena said the Executive Board members were looking at the people in the room, who were booking flights that very day. Moritz said that is the key, ISACA was looking at the people in the room.

Fokkena said the people in the room were the Executive Board. This is where she and Gill probably disagree. If she went against the bylaws, then all she can do now is say she is sorry. She said she still feels that in the ultimate scheme of things, the Executive Board has authority over every ISACA standing committee and so she asked Executive Board members to go. ISACA filled one seat with Marshall County Assistant Auditor Dawn Williams, because the Florida State Election Commissioners Convention was only three days away. The people that were organizing this educational seminar for the Florida State Election Commissioners were very well acquainted with Williams, who opened the doors. Regarding the ISACA bylaws, she did not know she was not following the bylaws. She said Slockett asked her why she did not reach out and ask for any advice on this. There were five past ISACA presidents in the room that day. The only person from the Executive Board that was absent that day was Robertson, unfortunately. She said she had the complete Executive Board and five past presidents present. Clay County Auditor Marjorie Pitts and Jones County Auditor Janine Sulzner were there and everyone will agree that those are two women who follow all the rules.

Sac County Auditor Jim Dowling said some of the blame is on however the voter identification bill got started in the first place. ISACA members did everything they told the Iowa Secretary of State they were going to do, as far as getting the report, fact finding, getting things put together, and presenting it so ISACA would have a position on this bill when it went to the Iowa Legislature. Dowling said that needs to be brought out just as much as how expensive this will be if it passes. Dowling said he will include this information in any conversations he has with area legislators.

Auditors' Opinions and Talking to the Iowa Legislators

Miller said he has a more practical aspect to attending this meeting. The Elections Standing Committee Meeting has been going on for an hour and a half now. He said he really wanted to hear ISACA's thoughts about the pros and cons of this bill. When Miller talked to Schulte, he did not get the impression that this bill was etched in stone. His impression based upon what he was told during the previous week, was that it still could be modified. He said when he leaves the Elections Standing Committee Meeting, he is going to talk to Schulte and talk to the Speaker of the House about this. Miller said he would like to have an idea of ISACA's concerns, so that he can express those as his concerns if he agrees with them, and highlight other concerns. He said he realizes ISACA wants to talk about bylaws. Miller said he is not going to get mad at anyone for what they did or did not do, because he does not care. He said he thinks the legislators can still be influenced, in whatever manner ISACA wants to go, and again he asked ISACA members about their concerns, so he can understand where everyone is coming from and explain it to the legislators because they are not going to spend a lot of time on this. He said he has a little time to talk with his legislator and he will be representing

himself, Linn County, and not ISACA. Hopefully that is in agreement with what ISACA wants to do.

Veeder said the group should talk about pros and cons. Miller said OK. Veeder said Slockett was telling him about how Indiana's voter identification requires a special ID be produced for the people who do not have a driver's license. The cost for that is \$2 million. He does not know if that would be the way it would go in Iowa, but if it did, then who would pay for it, the State or each county. He asked if it is even a good idea, regardless of who pays for it, or if it is a solution looking for a problem, as many people have said. He asked if this is correct.

Slockett said he spoke to one of the members of the Exploratory Committee, under the condition that he would not represent their conversation to others. Veeder said he thought everyone was past that. Slockett said no, he told that to Veeder last night. Veeder said Slockett was right and he forgot. Slockett said he knows the members of the Exploratory Committee have good information that they could tell the Elections Standing Committee and that would be useful to all of the auditors. The Exploratory Committee could share this information without committing themselves to what they are going to write in the report or without predicting its outcome. Slockett said he would rather not talk about the things that were told to him, but ISACA still has some knowledge. Veeder apologized. Fokkena said she can do her best to ask the Exploratory Committee to give ISACA some bullet points for conversation. The Exploratory Committee wants to put the report together first. Veeder said the auditors did not ask for a report.

Moritz said one of her major concerns is that the State of Iowa has not identified where voter fraud may exist. She has asked Schultz to produce records of the last ten years of voter fraud so the auditors can examine the records. Voter ID is not going to solve the problem. There will be increased costs and additional poll workers will be needed. If this is implemented by July, all Scott County records would need to be scanned and this scanning would have to be done outside of the county because the Scott County Auditor's Office has already submitted its budget, with cuts, to the Scott County Board of Supervisors.

Moritz said she is also concerned about liability. She asked if a poll worker has ever been sued before, or if a poll worker could be liable for denying someone the right to vote. She said everyone knows that an individual auditor cannot monitor and police 63 precincts. Miller said someone cannot do that in nine precincts in a rural county. Moritz said one poll worker may let someone by and another person may see that. She asked if that poll worker is open to a lawsuit. She asked if those are concerns for poll workers who earn around \$7 per hour. This bill is moving so quickly and no one is looking at it seriously. She said she was told that the bill came out of subcommittee with no discussion. The bill passed 15 to eight, and they were not allowed to discuss it. There are costs that are associated with this and ISACA needs to know the impact this may have.

Miller said if the subcommittee did not discuss it, then that is a pure opportunity for him to talk to the floor leader and say Miller knows the subcommittee did not discuss this. He said he will ask if they covered these costs, and if they did, he will ask when they are going to talk about the inherent costs of this program. He said that was the first thing he said to Paulsen, when Paulsen called him before the 2011 Iowa Legislative Session began. He said he told Paulsen that the Legislature will have to figure out how to get a free identification to everybody.

Moritz said she is sure all of the auditors are aware of how many absentee requests she had to send back in this last election because a party sent them out without highlighting the signature line. She hired a part-time person just to deal with that problem. Now, the State of Iowa is going to require a signature verification that county auditor's offices are going to have to look up on 25,000 to 30,000 absentees. Those are costs. Moritz said Schultz told her that signature verification for absentees would be set aside outside of that the voter identification issue. She said her concern is for those costs. She asked if that is a discriminatory issue also. Someone can vote absentee with no voter identification, but if they go to the polls, then they would have to have identification. Fokkena said there are also many residents of nursing homes or group homes who do not have IDs. Moritz said Schultz does have something in the bill to protect residents in nursing facilities. Slockett asked how. Moritz said it is done by an affidavit, but attesting is no longer required, because most of the State's nursing home ballots are attested. She said she read it in Iowa House File 8. Slockett asked if Moritz is talking about on-day registrations in nursing homes. Moritz said yes. Slockett clarified that those people would personally sign their own affidavit, rather than having to be attested for by someone else. Moritz said those are things ISACA should look at. Gill said Schultz did not say anything to him about signature verification. Moritz said Schultz did talk about it to her.

Fitzgerald said ISACA is going to know very quickly, if when the auditors talk to their legislators and the legislators walk away and will not talk to them, if this is a slam dunk and the bill is going through. He said it is going to get to the Iowa Senate and stop dead in its tracks. Moritz said that is because Senator Michael Gronstal said the voter identification bill is not coming out of committee. Slockett asked Moritz if she is sure about Gronstal. Moritz said when she spoke to Schultz, she said he felt very comfortable that it was coming out of the Senate. Fitzgerald said he talked to members of the Senate this morning before this meeting, and is not sure about Schultz's or Gronstal's level of comfort.

Slockett said this is very iffy, because the majority is so narrow in the Senate and there are new members who do not know, and may not care much about this, so they are not going to take a political hit on it. Slockett thinks there is a possibility that it would pass the Senate. If it does, then everyone knows the Governor is going to sign it. Fitzgerald said for the small minority, controversial issues are not going through the Iowa Senate, such as gay marriage. The Iowa Legislature has continually tried to make it easier and more accessible for people to vote. If the Senate is going to stand in the road

for gay marriage, then they are not going to turn their tail and run away. The Senate has a history of making voting easier on people.

Fitzgerald said the fiscal note is inconclusive, which was a topic that came up that morning. Fitzgerald read "the fiscal impact is not expected to be significant, as most registered voters have driver's licenses and persons without photo identification will continue to have the option to be a mail-in absentee ballot." Slockett said that is bogus. Fitzgerald said he would pass that around, if people want to read through it. He said that statement came from the website earlier that day. He said he is not part of the Elections Standing Committee, but he does appreciate being at the meeting. He said he has to leave now to attend a noon meeting. Slockett said he appreciates Fitzgerald coming to the meeting. Slockett said he thinks the bill will not leave the Senate only if Gronstal is willing to not allow it to the floor. If it goes to the floor, then there is a good chance it will pass. He asked if Fitzgerald is indicating that he does not think the Senate will allow it and if that is because Fitzgerald thinks Gronstal will be able to keep it from going to a vote. Fitzgerald said he does not think the bill will come out of committee. Slockett said OK, that is reassuring to him. Fitzgerald said maybe Veeder can speak more about this. When Fitzgerald worked at the Senate, the things the leader did not want to come up, never came up. He does not think Gronstal is necessarily interested in Schultz's priorities, but rather jobs. His press releases are always about jobs, procedures, and traditions. That is why he is thinking Gronstal is not going to bring it up. Veeder said no one ever knows, it might be late in the session. Fitzgerald said it could be horse traded; it would not be the first time.

Dunham said she has not seen the exact form of identification required, but Story County has a student population too and students are not going to have their most current photo identification with them; that has been the problem throughout Election Day registration. Story County has identified items for students to bring to the polls. Chiodo said the way he interprets that is that those extra items are no longer relevant. If a voter cannot present photo identification with current information, then they vote a provisional ballot. Dunham said she might as well just have a provisional balloting station right on campus, because those kids are not going to have the document readily accessible to them without a cost to them. Robertson said their driver's license is still going to say their home address, even though they have changed their address. Dunham said this requirement is going to create a drop in voter turnout.

Gill said despite what everyone here wants to say, this is a partisan issue. The election administration says that the only place things are moving are in those states with a Republican controlled legislature and Governor. He asked if anybody knows of someone in Iowa who has complained that someone else has voted with their name. Slockett said in other words, trying to imposter someone else. Robertson said Mills County poll workers record if a person is a junior or a senior. Gill asked if anyone has been prosecuted for that. He said millions of voters cannot be wrong here. He said he made this statement at a League of Women Voters forum, where Iowa Legislators were present. The Iowa Legislators wanted to talk about the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN), and other red herring issues. The deputy

commissioner of elections just retired after a 33 year career. Not once in that period of time did somebody complain that someone else voted under their name. The work is done on the front end of the process, the name is verified by a driver's license number or the last four digits of the Social Security number. That is where the work is done, on the front end. The Iowa Secretary of State said he was concerned about illegal immigrants voting. There was one illegal immigrant caught trying to vote in Woodbury County who was prosecuted for this. The individual registered to vote, was prosecuted, and was going to be deported because of that. It was done up front and that is where it works.

Slockett said Johnson County scans all voter registration cards. Even though that is done, the signatures are not readily accessible. If there is signature verification required, then Johnson County would have huge expenses in making that happen. The Iowa Department of Transportation (IDOT) would have to provide signatures to Johnson County, which they currently do not do.

Slockett said Chiodo and Fitzgerald are leaving. He said he really appreciates them for coming.

Slockett said he has had considerable information about some of the problems in Minnesota, because he spoke with individuals from the Brennan Center for Justice at New York University School of Law. This issue has been very litigious in the counties that have implemented it. The legislative note read earlier said people in Iowa already have driver's licenses. The legislators do not understand. It is very different when someone is doing something voluntarily such as driving a car, people do not have a right to drive a car. Also, many people with disabilities and medical conditions do not drive so they do not need access to a driver's license. If State issued identification is a requirement in order to vote, then all of those people will need an ID and there is a constitutional guarantee involved in them having it. In states where this has been implemented, courts have ordered counties to increase the number of sites above the driver's license stations. The courts have also ordered mobile identification units which drive to care facilities for the elderly and people with disabilities. There are considerable costs to this. Slockett pointed out that this is not something he has discussed with any of the ISACA members on the Exploratory Committee, so he feels free to talk about this.

Robertson said regarding people with disabilities, identification has become a requirement at the Mills County Glenwood Resource Center. Individuals with developmental disabilities are now required by the state to have a state identification. They have been bringing those people down in droves to get their state identifications.

Slockett said many states have identification requirements similar to Iowa's secondary identification. Many states have an identification requirement but it is not a picture identification and there is not as much of a problem with that. If a piece of mail delivered to a person's house is acceptable as identification, then everyone has that type of secondary identification. His understanding from what he has heard at this meeting, and from his conversation with Schultz prior to the November 2010 General Election, is

that Schultz wants to require formal picture identification. There are higher standards to obtain this form of identification.

EQUAL PROTECTION ISSUE

Veeder asked if anybody thinks there is an equal protection issue here. Black Hawk County had to provide voting machines for disabled voters in every precinct, due to equal protection under the law. It seems like it is an equal protection issue if absentee voters do not have to show identification and everybody else does. He does not know if that is something that could be challenged if the law passed.

Slockett said he thinks equal protection is a concern but he has not heard about suits based on equal protection. The state of Georgia, for example, has no requirement for absentee voting by mail. Many places do not have that requirement, but some places do. Someone told him that North Dakota or South Dakota requires a photocopy of an identification to be mailed in along with the absentee ballot request. He said he can see that causing a lot of problems, because people may not send the correct identification. Fokkena asked, if absentee voting is intended for people who are shut-ins how can those individuals get a photocopy. Veeder said it also raises the issue of who the photograph will be compared to for verification.

Miller asked if Veeder is suggesting that this may be a way of eroding absentee voting. Veeder said no. Miller said if the standard changed and photo identification was the standard, then absentee voting would be eliminated. Veeder said Iowa would either have to eliminate absentee voting, or expect that more people would use it, because then they would not have to have photo identification. He said the Iowa Legislature is obviously thinking about continuing absentee voting, because they indicate that voter identification is not going to be a large cost because it will not affect absentee voters. Robertson said there is a bigger chance of fraud with absentee voting than anywhere else. Gill said that is why he told the Secretary of State he was in favor of signature verification. If Schultz is concerned about fraud, then that is where he should be concerned. The state of Oregon uses signature verification and does a very good job. Oregon did it during the 2010 General Election. He said only 1,100 ballots were questioned because of signature verification. Slockett said yes, but Oregon also has a hard 21-day cut-off before the election, which gives them time, because they have many questions and processes to "cure" the signature. The person can either come in in person, or send a document attesting they have a medical condition or otherwise that caused their signature to change. That cannot be done with on-day registration.

Miller said Linn County prosecuted 13 felons who registered and voted on Election Day in 2008. This year, the Linn County Sheriff's Office is investigating two felons and two people who voted twice, once by absentee and once at a voting precinct. Again, that was not because the people did not have identification or because they were imposters. Linn County knew who the felons were that registered on Election Day, and the precinct officers obviously knew who they let vote twice. All of those people are under investigation and Miller thinks there were a couple of voters whose addresses could not

be verified during registration. Certainly those could be imposters of some sort, but those people provided a bad address. Miller said he does not know how many of those come back. This does not mean that it was an identification issue, it means it was a bad address issue. The discussion returns to the fact that the auditors do not know of any impostors, but on the other hand, would the auditors know of the impostors; he would think someone would trip up.

Moritz said in order to do that, someone has to wake up that morning and say that they are going to commit a felony. If someone wanted to take someone else's identification to vote, then that person wakes up knowing that they are going to do it. This bill will not stop that from happening. She asked if it would ultimately stop voter fraud from happening. Miller said the irony is that the Iowa House of Representatives sponsor of the voter identification bill is Schulte, who was elected by 13 votes. Moritz clarified that there were 15 people whose addresses could not be verified. Miller said there were 13 people that Linn County actually prosecuted as felons that voted. Thank goodness those fraudulent voters were not all from Schulte's district, they were scattered throughout Linn County.

REPORT ON THE SECRETARY OF STATE'S POSITION ON LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

Fokkena said she could very quickly go through the list of ISACA legislative priorities and say what she has learned from Struyk and Mosiman, regarding Schultz's stance. It is not promising. Slockett said that would be very helpful. Fokkena said she was very hopeful that the Elections Standing Committee was going to discuss the elimination of envelopes for absentee voting. Slockett said it is one of the items on the agenda. He said he was also hoping Schultz would be present to discuss. He said ISACA members could still discuss whether they are in favor of it. Fokkena said she knows ISACA is going to have to find out exactly what it is that bothers Schultz about eliminating envelopes. Slockett said he did talk to someone in Schultz's office who said they thought it was because Schultz was not comfortable stating a position if he did not know much about the issue. He said he was hoping that discussion would take place through Schultz's staff or through Schultz himself at this meeting. He personally thinks they need to look for opportunities to work together. Fokkena agreed. She said she will review Schultz's positions on these items, which follow the order on the agenda. She said she also got the feeling that he had instructed Mosiman and Struyk to be very cautious in what they told ISACA they would support.

Slockett asked meeting participants if they would like to have some lunch brought into the meeting or if they would try to wrap up in quick order after Fokkena is finished. He said the meeting participants have gotten quite a bit of good information, so far, and if Fokkena does what she has just volunteered to do, then that is the very best information ISACA could get at this point. Everyone already knows ISACA has taken a position in favor of the list of legislative priorities. He said they need to decide if they will stick around longer or end the meeting after Fokkena's presentation. Miller asked what the goals are for this meeting. There has been a lot of discussion over the last two hours, but

he is not exactly sure where the discussion is supposed to be going. Dowling asked if the group can listen to Fokkena's report and see what is left to discuss after that. Slockett said that would be prior to ordering something to eat; that is what he was going to ask people. Fokkena said she can give her presentation in ten minutes or less. Veeder said he is ready to go straight through without lunch. Slockett said it seems like that opinion is unanimous.

Fokkena said the meeting with Struyk, Mosiman and Reisetter as well as herself, Kline, and Parrott, took place on January 5, 2011. She presented ISACA's top legislative priorities and Schultz's position on those as follows:

Close Absentee Voting Noon Monday (Proposal No. 4): The Iowa Secretary of State remained neutral. This is going to be a recurring theme.

Saturdays Before Primary and General Elections (Proposal No. 12): The Secretary of State needs the financial impact. She said he is looking for what the cost-savings would be to counties.

City Vacancies/Next Pending Election (Proposal No. 16): The Secretary of State is actively supporting the ISACA proposal. Slockett said he took out the non election priority. If they all look at the first page of their packet, the priorities are in the same order Fokkena is presenting. Fokkena said supposedly, there is already a bill out there regarding city vacancies.

Fokkena then presented additional legislative priorities and Schultz's position on those as follows:

85% Elections Deputy (Proposal No. 1): The Iowa Secretary of State remained neutral.

Expand Ability to Use Combined Precincts (Proposal No. 7): Schultz needs to see a bill before he would develop a position on it.

Satellite Voting Petition Requirements (Proposal No. 11): Schultz would like to work on an acceptable solution to that. That is a place where the auditors could reach out to Schultz. She said Schultz is looking for some communication on that item.

Vote Centers in Primary and General Elections (Proposal No. 13): Schultz would want to see a bill before he takes a stand.

Fokkena then presented ISACA legislative proposals previously to be taken forward by Secretary of State on behalf of ISACA and Schultz's position on those as follows:

Absentee Envelope Reduction (Proposal No. 2): Schultz has concerns over privacy regarding with absentee envelope reduction. Fokkena said she would be so excited about this priority, if it would happen. She said she would like to get to the bottom of what

Schultz's concern truly is, because the only thing under that flap is a signature and the counties put that signature out everywhere. Slockett said his impression was that Schultz wanted to make sure what was and was not going to be covered up by the flap. Fokkena said OK, because she loves that proposal.

Allow 17 Year Olds to Register to Vote (Proposal No. 3): Schultz will not support this proposal because it would cost a substantial amount of money to the Iowa Secretary of State to retool I-VOTERS. That is probably a detail that the auditors never thought about. I-Voters is already built to look for 17.5 year olds and this change would create an issue. Slockett said it is frustrating because computers seem to be so inflexible. Fokkena said this information came right from Reisetter. There would be a substantial cost to it.

Destruction of Tally Lists (Priority No. 5): Schultz wants to know how much money counties are paying to store tally lists. ISACA will not get any support for that priority, even though all of the auditors know that common sense just dictates that counties should be able to destroy them.

Early Voting - Use of Optical Scan (Proposal No. 6): Schultz is opposed and she cannot expand upon that and does not remember why.

Expand Voting Assistance to Voters in 231C Facilities (Proposal No. 8): The indication from Struyk was that ISACA should be careful what ISACA asks for. If the auditors want Schultz to support something like that, then Schultz would only support it if ISACA opened the expanded voting assistance up to all facilities, including group homes and halfway houses, and not just assisted living facilities.

Mail ballots in Cities less than 200 (Proposal No. 9): As a sidebar, she has discussed this with Iowa League of Cities Executive Director Alan Kemp. She said Kemp thinks ISACA and the League of Cities should join hands on this item to figure out how to make it happen. She said Kemp agrees that the current process is ridiculous. Fokkena said she has two tiny cities in Butler County with a total of 80 registered voters. She would like to just send those people absentee ballot request forms. She said she has spent way less on that process, than what she currently does. Dowling said in turn, the small cities will spend much less also. Fokkena said exactly, because she bills the cities. If ISACA cannot make something happen regarding this item in 2011, then ISACA needs to meet with the League of Cities because Kemp is open to it. Slockett said ISACA could have Clayton County Auditor Dennis Freitag, a Republican, talk to Schultz about the small town that called him up at 10:00 a.m. and asked if their poll workers had to stay for the rest of the day, even though everyone in the town had already voted. He said Freitag was told that the poll workers had to stay.

Write-Ins Tallied After Election Day (Proposal No. 14): Fokkena said Schultz needs a better plan regarding this proposal. No one present from Schultz's office liked this proposal. Those individuals understood ISACA's concerns, but wanted a better plan. Slockett said something needs to be done.

Public Measure Text (Proposal No. 15): Schultz does support the proposal.

Fokkena said that concludes her report. She said she did not feel like that was a great meeting, because ISACA did not get a lot of support. Slockett said he thinks Fokkena supplied very valuable information regarding how to proceed.

REVIEW OF THE PROCESS WITH THE EXPLORATORY COMMITTEE AND THE ISACA CALENDAR

Slockett said everything that has been talked about so far in the meeting, was included in an agenda item. As far as he is concerned, he thinks the discussion was very valuable. He is sorry the Elections Standing Committee did not get more information from the Exploratory Committee. In the future, the Executive Board should think about that. If ISACA is going to invest in a committee like that, then the members of that committee should feel willing to discuss observations without making a commitment regarding what the report will say or taking a position on the issues. Fokkena said as soon as the meeting wraps up, she will be on the phone with the Exploratory Committee to ask if the members can try to develop something to send the other ISACA members. It will be under the guise that these are just quick points of observations and the report is still coming. If there is something that flips in the final report, then she does not want the other ISACA members to accuse the Exploratory Committee of lying. ISACA is just asking the Exploratory Committee for off-the-cuff information, without any collaboration. She said she is more than willing to ask the Exploratory Committee to do that as quickly as they possibly can. She said she thinks they will take that seriously. So, maybe all of the auditors can expect an email later that day.

Fokkena said she will try to get some information for the ISACA members. Timing has not been ideal in any of these situations. She said she will tell the Exploratory Committee that Kline and Parrott are to work with the Elections Standing Committee. She asked if there is a Chairman of the Legislative Committee and who would be the counterpart for the Elections Standing Committee. Slockett said the first vice-chairperson calls the meetings, if there is no president. Fokkena said she will tell Kline and Parrott to get a hold of Robertson and Slockett as soon as possible. The two committees will get together with Freitag and Kline at some point during the following week. At one point the legislative liaisons had wanted to hold a statewide ISACA meeting on February 4, 2011. She said she did not know how to make it all happen so fast. She said her goal is for Kline and Parrott to meet with the two committees, whether it is in person or via a telephone conference. The goal would be to try to nail down recommendations, so there are recommendations available for the meeting scheduled for February 11, 2011 when everyone comes together. By then, everyone will have the written factual report from the Exploratory Committee. Once ISACA determines its recommendations, they should decide how to disseminate the recommendations.

Slockett asked Fokkena to go over the calendar. He clarified that the Exploratory Committee is on the way back to Iowa and will arrive later today, and the rest of the ISACA members may get an email either today or tomorrow. Fokkena said she will ask

them to do that. Slockett asked Fokkena what day they would distribute the report. Fokkena said it should be no later than February 2, 2011. Slockett said ISACA will meet as a whole group on February 11, 2011. Fokkena said that is correct. Slockett said he would like the Elections Standing Committee to think about meeting between February 2, 2011 and February 11, 2011 to see if the committee wants to make any comments or recommendations, or take a position. The group could either decide on a date now, or he could poll people via email later. Fokkena said she wants everyone to know she appreciates their diligence and she appreciates that the auditors take their jobs seriously. She will do her best to get them something to work with.

QUESTIONS ABOUT DESIRED RESULTS OF THE JOINT ISACA MEETING

Grandia asked Fokkena if when she used the word "recommendation" is she referring to recommendations to change the bill or recommendations for taking a position. There are some auditors, at least in the fifth district, that have been emailing back and forth about expectations for the meeting on February 11, 2011. He asked if the meeting is just going to cover information or is there action to be taken. Any information coming out ahead of that time would be great to have. He asked if ISACA is looking to take a position, or if ISACA is looking to make recommendations to the bill. Grandia said representatives from Marion County media were asking him very specific questions. He said all of the auditors want information, yet no one wants to put out bad information. He asked what the goal is for the meeting scheduled for February 4, 2011, when everyone has the report, and if the goal is to change a bill that might pass or to take a position. He does not know if that is the right approach. The group started talking about looking at changing the legislative priorities, which were already done. He asked if ISACA should go with the current list of legislative priorities. ISACA is focusing on the voter identification bill because that is the biggest thing. He asked if ISACA is trying to come up with recommendations to try to work with the bill, or if ISACA is trying to take a position.

Slockett said those are all good questions. He said he will be a part of whatever ISACA decides. Personally, he wants to inform himself about it. Grandia said many people have not looked at this much but instead look to leadership for direction. That is just the way it is. Slockett said ISACA does not have enough information ahead of time to decide what to do later. First, the auditors need to inform themselves, and then talk about it through the established mechanisms and procedures to vet the issue as fully as possible and present more information. Personally, he does not want to do anything that ISACA cannot do together with Schultz. He said if the auditors feel overwhelmingly about something, then he would not have a problem in taking a position, even if it disagreed with Schultz, though he does not know that is likely to happen. He reiterated that first, ISACA members should inform themselves and secondly, they will look at seeking more information, asking more questions, and considering whether to take a position. He thinks there are good arguments for not taking a position, but depending on the bill, there are possible times when they would want to take a position. He does not think ISACA should take that off the table as a possibility.

Fokkena told Grandia that is why she has tried really hard to be a little vague when crafting messages to ISACA members, because she cannot predict how the meeting scheduled for February 11, 2011 will go. Grandia agreed. Fokkena said she does not want to pour gas on a fire. However, she does not want to dismiss the very strong opinions she knows ISACA members have. She felt it was a big enough issue that she just had to allow everyone the opportunity to address it. She hopes the people who attend that meeting will look to some of the other auditors as leaders, those who are very involved in legislation, and look to those auditors for advice. ISACA also has to be ready to sit at the table with Schultz, even if they disagree with his position. She said she wants to trust Schultz. She said she is normally quick to judge, however she does not want to do that this time. She can be mean, but is trying hard not to poke Schultz with a stick.

Grandia said that is what is so difficult. Most of ISACA's discussion concerns all of the issues that come up with this situation. He said he is not hearing a lot of positive sides to it, except headlines in the newspaper. He said he completely understands that, which is why it is difficult to know how to proceed on this so soon after the election. He appreciates the Exploratory Committee going out. He said he does not understand the bylaws. He said he is not sure who else would have gotten that information. Any information is good, so he appreciates that very much. He said he did not want to go on that trip, or did he have time to go. It is an un-winnable situation in many ways. Slockett said there was no way that he could have gone with the Exploratory Committee on that short of notice either. Grandia said it is important to have a direction or at least know what the expectations are, because otherwise it will spin out of control.

ELECTION PROCESS CHANGES

Dunham said she has two questions regarding some of the canvass material. All of the canvass dates have been moved to the Tuesday after an election. If there is a runoff city election, Dunham will be trying to figure out when there will be time to get ballots done if she is not canvassing. Then, she is looking at the School Election when they regularly canvass on Friday. There are schools that are organizing on Monday and they barely have time to get certificates to the schools for swearing in purposes. She asked if anyone has talked to the schools or the supervisor affiliates about having meetings on Tuesdays, when some supervisors are used to canvassing on Monday. Fokkena said she thinks these are the type of issues that need to be brought up by the group, perhaps with possible solutions. With the way this voter identification bill is being rushed through, taking a position is almost a moot point. ISACA had better be positioning itself in the eventuality that something does happen. Fokkena thinks this group of ISACA members are visionaries and know they need to do that. The county auditors have had to deal with similar issues in the past and everyone has risen to the challenge. That is part of the problem, if the counties would fail, then maybe the State of Iowa would start listening to them as Commissioners of Elections. However, the auditors do not fail, because everyone does what the State asks.

Dowling said he thinks the questions that Moritz presented in her letter actually address a resolution to this whole thing. Rather than ISACA opposing it, the auditors

need to be asking those questions and let the State of Iowa sort it out. Miller said he just got a voicemail from a representative who asked him if he was in the neighborhood. He said the representative told him that the House is debating the voter identification bill right now, in fact they started ten minutes ago. Miller said he is going to attend that meeting now because he thinks his time is better spent there. Moritz asked if there will be an opportunity for Miller to speak since the bill is on the House floor. Miller said yes, it is on the floor. He said the Cedar Rapids Gazette newspaper published an editorial supporting the voter identification bill on January 24, 2011 without speaking to Miller first.

Gill said there was a question about the goals of the ISACA process. He said he has looked at the ISACA bylaws often and the process is that when elections related changes come up, the ISACA legislative liaisons are supposed to call a meeting of the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee. He sent an email to Fokkena about it. When the meeting is called, ISACA can talk about it and at that point ISACA members can develop legislative objectives, if something was not covered when this was done during the summer process. The legislative liaisons have the authority to call those meetings and whatever comes out of those meeting is supposed to be presented to the Executive Board. The Executive Board has the last word regarding any objectives.

Gill said he told Fokkena that during this process, the Exploratory Committee gathers information and presents it to the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee. Whatever comes out of that meeting is presented to ISACA as a whole, in order to vet it. As far as the bylaws go, that meeting of the whole does not have any meaning in that process, other than the fact that everybody has an opportunity to discuss what came out of those committees. The bottom line is that it is presented to the Executive Board, who then makes the final decision. Slockett said it is an opportunity for the Executive Board to hear from the whole group. Gill said exactly.

Fokkena said the Executive Board members were elected by their district. So if the Board is there, they have a constituency. Slockett said if there is a consensus on which direction to go it might be helpful to the Executive Board, while advocating, for the whole group take the same position. It could be a good thing or it could be a place ISACA does not want to go. Fokkena said she could be opening up an ugly can of worms. She said she thinks there are too many voices in the group that are going to feel like they got shut down if this report comes out and the Executive Board takes action with no conversation. She knows this is just one more meeting, but she thinks it was important to let everybody say how they felt about it. Gill said it was appropriate to meet. Slockett agreed.

Slockett said he has felt for a long time that ISACA should take a comprehensive look at the deadlines. The mailing out of ballots has been lengthened a couple of times in the last few years. When that happens, ISACA needs to speak up to say that if the State of Iowa is going to do that, then the filing deadlines need to be made earlier. County auditors need to start seriously looking at giving themselves the time to adequately meet those deadlines.

Miller said he is leaving now and he said thank you for the invitation.

DUTIES OF THE LEGISLATIVE LIAISONS

Dunham said Fokkena has given the Elections Standing Committee information about the Iowa Secretary of State's Office's new priorities. She asked if ISACA is supposed to go back to the table and reformulate some of the priorities, and bring them back to Schultz. She asked what the next step is. Fokkena said she does not believe so. The Association voted on all of those issues. If they start tinkering with the priorities, she is not sure that would be fair to the other Association members. There are a couple of opportunities where the group can reach out to the Secretary of State, such as on items where their staff wanted more information or wanted the fiscal impact. There were two or three items on the list of legislative priorities that the group could work to flush out, to try to see if ISACA could make some headway with Schultz. Veeder said ISACA does not know what form those bills will take if bills are brought out on those issues and how they might be amended. If changes like that are made, then ISACA has the bylaws to fall back on.

Slockett said he has some concerns because the ISACA legislative liaisons have taken it as their duty and are actively seeking sponsors in the House and the Senate for this legislation. Maybe the Executive Board should give the legislative liaisons some direction, and ask them to hold off on those efforts, because it is a big waste of time. The situation has totally changed. He said he believes these things all could have passed; they had a chance at it before. If ISACA does not see that now, then Slockett thinks the liaisons should not spend their time and burn any bridges with legislators by asking them to do things that are going nowhere. Since the group is meeting, maybe that could be on the agenda, as well as recommendations to take a lot of these priorities off the list. Gill said that should be discussed at a meeting with the ISACA legislative liaisons. Slockett said that is right. Fokkena said she was under the impression that the legislative liaisons were not going to take a lot of action on things that the Secretary of State was opposed to.

Veeder said the second page of the minutes from the Executive Board Meeting shows a motion to direct the ISACA legislative liaisons to not take action on the Iowa Code 231C Issue, the Early Voting Issue, or the Mailing Ballots to Cities With a Population Under 200, unless it surfaces under the 2011 Iowa Legislative Session. As has already been pointed out, that process should have gone through the Elections Standing Committee first. The Elections Standing Committee is meeting now, so if they want to consider the action taken by the Executive Board, then that is what they were looking address. The present members can address whether they agree with the actions of the Executive Board.

Slockett said his understanding is that the legislative liaisons have identified sponsors in the House and the Senate for every priority on which ISACA was in agreement with Schultz. He has the same concern that Fokkena did, that that is poking Schultz with a stick and he does not think that is a good idea. He said he thinks ISACA should be more

circumspect than what was represented in the minutes. He thinks the joint meeting should occur, rather than the Elections Standing Committee doing it alone, because that step is not really in the ISACA bylaws either. He wonders if the Elections Standing Committee should not check with Kline and Parrott if they would consider having a meeting. It could be done electronically. Slockett has not looked in detail at this, but from what he heard Fokkena say, his first feeling is that ISACA should remove the legislative priorities that Schultz did not express some willingness to support. Gill said he thinks that action needs to be discussed at that meeting. As far as what occurred in those meeting minutes, he thinks that is exactly what Slockett is saying. He said the Executive Board took specific action on specific issues.

Fokkena said basically they were all issues the Executive Board knew Schultz opposed. Slockett asked if there are more priorities that were not included. Fokkena said no. Most of the others are things that Schultz was either neutral on or that he wanted to see more information about. Slockett said OK. Fokkena said the Executive Board told them not to proceed on items that would be going up against Schultz right away. Slockett asked if Schultz agreed with issues the former Iowa Secretary of State said he would forward. Fokkena said no. The conversation they had on all of the other issues was for the legislative liaisons to continue to look for sponsors if they felt there is support on the issues. ISACA does not want to poke Schultz with a stick, but ISACA is also not going to completely back down just because Schultz is not willing to formally support an issue. She said the Executive Board did not feel these other issues would create huge fire storms. They knew the three legislative priorities, which ISACA was advised to not take any action on, could have created an issue. The discussion, which was not clearly reflected in minutes, was that if the legislative liaisons had an opportunity to find sponsors for these other things, they should take that opportunity. She believes that State Senator Jeff Danielson might be one of the individuals the legislative liaisons met with, along with Veeder, though without Veeder in the room, she does not know if she can back that statement. However, she thought that Danielson was willing to champion a couple of ISACA's causes.

Robertson said Carr spoke on behalf of Kline and Parrott, and said they have found sponsors for the bills. Fokkena said everything cannot be put into the meeting minutes, but she does know the Executive Board discussed the fact that last year ISACA got short-changed because they thought ISACA was going to find sponsors for ISACA bills. She said ISACA said they were no longer responsible for that function. Slockett said that was discussed during a previous meeting and reported on by Parrott. Fokkena said that is why this conversation was about how ISACA cannot retreat. The Executive Board decided that these were legitimate priorities to champion and would not create huge issues with Schultz.

Slockett said Fokkena reported three negative responses from Schultz to the legislative proposals. He said he is probably in favor of all of them. He asked if ISACA is sure that it is desirable to get sponsors for those three issues. It still has to be considered. Fokkena said ISACA can have that discussion, but she thinks the Executive Board did give the legislative liaisons some guidance. Slockett asked if the Executive

Board told the legislative liaisons to go ahead. Fokkena said if the opportunity arose to find a sponsor for one of those three issues that ISACA voted on as having merit, then the legislative liaisons should go ahead and do that. Slockett said there is one question he would like to ask Gill, because he might disagree with him. If Slockett heard Gill correctly, Gill thinks it was appropriate for the Executive Board to make changes to the priorities determined by ISACA. Gill said he does not think it was appropriate. Slockett said then he does not disagree with Gill. ISACA should follow the bylaws and have the legislative liaisons call a meeting with the Legislative Committee and the Elections Standing Committee to discuss it. Then, the Executive Board will make the final decision. Gill said he thinks the result would have been the same. Slockett agreed.

EXPLORATORY COMMITTEE REPORT DEADLINE

Veeder said he just received a call from Iowa House Representative Bob Kressig. He said Kressig asked Veeder about his opinion, which he gave just as he has given his opinion to other Iowa legislators he has spoken with. As Fokkena has asked them to do, Veeder said he was giving his personal opinion and stating that ISACA is researching this. He said Kressig said the legislators know that, and are waiting for information they hope to receive soon. He told Kressig they should have that information by February 2, 2011. Dowling asked if Kressig was OK with that. Veeder said Kressig did not react with disgust. Slockett said the legislators will not really have that information until February 11, 2011. Fokkena said no, the ISACA auditors get the report from the Exploratory Committee first, because the auditors pay dues and pay for these trips. She said Schultz and Struyk wanted it first and she said no, ISACA gets it first which will be no later than February 2, 2011. She said she can try to push that date. If the information is ready, then the ISACA members will get it before February 2, 2011.

Robertson asked what the time period is for the legislators to receive the information. Fokkena said the auditors can send it to the legislators as soon as they get it, if they wish. Robertson clarified that Fokkena will not be sending it to the legislators. Fokkena said she personally would not know how to send it to them. Slockett said once 99 auditors have the information, it will get out. Fokkena said that is her point, but she does not want Schultz or any legislator to get it before the auditors. Veeder said ISACA members should probably give the information to Schultz and the legislators at roughly the same time that the auditors receive it. Fokkena agreed.

Slockett said the report from the Exploratory Committee will just provide the facts. Fokkena said yes, because that is all that anyone was promised, a bipartisan factual report of what the exploratory process revealed. Robertson said the legislators might not care about the report by the end of today. Fokkena said she has no idea why, when ISACA wants something to happen, it moves at the pace of a snail. When ISACA is not sure about something, then it flies through the Legislature. She said she cannot control that process.

Slockett asked if Veeder would be willing to report on the questions he received from the legislators and how he responded. Slockett said he too is receiving questions and this

information might help Slockett prepare answers himself. Veeder said he was asked what his feelings are about the photo identification bill. So far, he has talked to three legislators from the House of Representatives. He told one representative yesterday that a report was coming soon. According to Veeder, she said the legislators would really like to see the report. Veeder told her that as soon as he receives it, he will send it to all the legislators from Black Hawk County. He said she probably spoke with Kressig, who might have thought ISACA would have some information today. Veeder said he has no idea how the vote will go, but it probably is not going to be on the Senate floor, at least right away, even if it is voted out of the House this week.

Fokkena said she truthfully cannot remember who she asked. She thinks it might be Parrott, who was communicating with Fitzgerald, because they were originally trying to schedule a joint meeting for February 4, 2011. She said she did not feel that that date gave anybody enough time, so she moved it to February 11, 2011. She did ask for opinions on whether anything could happen that quickly and everyone told her no. She said she will try to move the February 2, 2011 date up, because she is not holding back anything until that particular day. Veeder said he did not want to tell the legislators anything sooner than that. Fokkena agreed. That is basically what she told the reporter last night. ISACA will have a report by the first week in February.

Slockett said he will appreciate if they have it done in the next few days, but he does not think Fokkena should pressure them too much. Fokkena said she will see what she can do about having them put together some kind of a bulleted fact sheet. Slockett said he thinks that is even tougher to put it in writing. Even though it was going to be in the today's meeting minutes, he thought a willingness of the Exploratory Committee to discuss findings while traveling back to Iowa is a lower level of difficulty than putting it in writing. Fokkena said she assumes Jones County Auditor Janine Sulzner was in the vehicle and she is the chair of their committee. She said she has no idea what discussion ensued.

I-VOTERS AND PRECINCT ATLAS CHANGES

Moritz asked if anyone has looked to see if there would be programming costs with Precinct Atlas and I-VOTERS changes if necessary. Fokkena said she assumes Kline would be looking into Precinct Atlas. Moritz said Schultz said there would have to be some kind of upgrade if there was signature verification in I-VOTERS. Slockett said he thinks a huge question is what is Schultz's level of commitment to I-VOTERS and what are his plans for the future. I-VOTERS clearly needs a lot of work and is very expensive to maintain. A significant investment has been made in utilizing this system and it could be very costly to abandon the system. It seems awfully expensive, so maybe it would be better to move to something else. Moritz said Schultz was going to do a five-year plan with I-VOTERS, moving it back to Saber. Fokkena asked if the State of Iowa is still on Saber. Moritz said no. Grandia said it is in-house now. Fokkena asked why the State would move back to Saber. Moritz said Scott County went back to Saber when they had the problem during the General Election. Slockett asked Moritz if Schultz is continuing to support I-VOTERS right now. Moritz said yes, it is his plan to continue to do so.

However, it is one of Moritz's concerns as a larger county paying that cost per voter. She said she had asked Schultz about this, so she would know how to budget for it. She said Schultz said it was his desire to stay with Saber because there was not enough knowledge at the Secretary of State's Office and that Schultz would look at a five-year plan and possibly opportunities to move it back, but not any time soon.

Slockett said that is an example of a place that ISACA could be very helpful. As bipartisan folks, if Schultz needs more money, then it is cost-effective in the long run to get these problems taken care of and to make sure I-VOTERS does not collapse. Fokkena said she was on the I-VOTERS Committee. The original pilot counties wanted a program designed for Iowa. But all that I-VOTERS did is make Iowa fit into what was already built. It would have been far cheaper and better if Iowa would have been started on their own program, instead of being fitted into a program designed for the State of Oregon. Every time she would raise a question about it, no one would even speak to her because she kept pointing out the issues with I-VOTERS.

Moritz said the issue came up in her conversation with Schultz because she wanted to know if he was going to re-institutionalize an I-VOTERS Committee based on the stress from the General Election with the time constraints of when each county could access I-VOTERS. She said Schultz said he was not going to do this because he did not envision any of those problems when going back to Saber. Fokkena said it is a good thing that problems are forgotten soon after the election; otherwise the auditors would not want to do another one.

Gill said Schultz said he was not in favor of making any improvements or moving further along with the Precinct Atlas. Robertson said that is not what he indicated to them that day in the meeting. Moritz said that is not what Schultz told her either. Slockett asked Moritz if she got the same impression as Gill, that Schultz was not in favor of it. Moritz said yes. She said she talked to Schultz about the Precinct Atlas, and she is not sure if he just did not understand the conversation, but he was talking about the opportunity for Precinct Atlas statewide. She said she does not know where that comment came from. She said Schultz talked about why there would not be an opportunity to do that; there are not enough technical support people in smaller counties to support people using the Precinct Atlas.

Robertson said Schultz indicated that he supported all of the counties getting on board with Precinct Atlas, and he indicated that they could possibly put money toward the smaller counties. Slockett asked Robertson if she got the impression that Schultz thinks Precinct Atlas would be used statewide. Robertson asked if others thought the same thing and said that is the impression she got. Fokkena said she talks to Kline almost every day, and she knows Kline is not aware of these conversations. Gill said Schultz specifically said Kline wanted to move Precinct Atlas statewide, but that is not going to happen because it is too expensive. Several Elections Standing Committee members agreed with Gill. Fokkena said Schultz was supposed to meet with her in Butler County yesterday, but he was called to the Iowa Legislature so their meeting was cancelled. She said when Schultz originally called, he wanted to meet with Kline right

after meeting with her. She informed Schultz that Kline will be in Indiana. Moritz said Schultz said the same thing to her about Precinct Atlas not going statewide.

Fokkena said she thinks Schultz is very young and quite naïve. She said someone or multiple people have Schultz's ear, and she thinks those people have depicted ISACA as a scary group of people. Gill said he does not know why. Fokkena said she does not know why either.

VOTER IDENTIFICATION BILL PROGRAMMING COSTS

Slockett said Dunham had a question earlier and he does not want to overlook her question. Dunham said she wonders if anyone has exact cost figures for any of the programming. She asked if there is presumed to be a huge I-VOTERS cost to register 17 year olds, wouldn't voter identification programming costs be huge also. Slockett said the breakdown of costs would be very interesting. Fokkena asked why Schultz is against the 17 year old, saying it costs too much, yet the thing that he wants is obviously going to cost something. She said the Elections Standing Committee is told that Schultz does not have any money. Veeder said Schultz cannot afford both, so he is choosing this one. Fokkena agreed with Veeder.

Fokkena said she has a real fear that ISACA will never know who to believe in the new administration. She said she does not feel comfortable. Veeder said county auditors were slightly spoiled with Mauro, and now they are back in the position they were in before Mauro was in office. Fokkena agreed. Veeder said the county auditors always have had problems in the past with other departments in the State of Iowa. Fokkena said they lived through it and always kept their composure. She said she does not want ISACA to be split down the middle over this issue. Slockett agreed. Fokkena said ISACA has never done that, and she does not want it to happen while she is ISACA President. ISACA members can pride themselves on having these disagreements and political debate, but at the end of the day, they are all county auditors and have an important job to do. When the auditors are carrying out their duties as auditors, she does not believe they are partisan; she said they should not be partisan. She thinks former Governor Chet Culver made some of their jobs difficult during his tenure, but they lived through it. She said they can live through this time also, but it is so sad for it to happen during the first two weeks of the new administration.

Dunham said it is her understanding that Election Day registration procedures are no longer applicable after the voter identification bill is passed. She asked if that is correct. Fokkena said this is just one more thing; it is a third set of criteria. Dunham said the testing component goes away. Grandia said the same day voter registration component stays. Fokkena said her concern is that there are already two sets of identification and verification, and now the State of Iowa is adding a third set. Dunham asked if this bill is trying to phase out the whole Election Day registration process. She said there are provisional forms for this process, and now another affidavit on top of that will be required. Fokkena said in case Precinct Atlas goes down, the county auditors would still have all of the paper forms.

Dunham asked if the auditors will need to host an informational meeting for the public. She said she has received many questions from all entities, and she is trying to understand how Election Day events will take place from beginning to end. There will be long lines filled with voters trying to figure out if they have proper identification and verification. She asked what the public education about this process will cost. She said voters have already been told to register beforehand or else they will have to present certain documents. She said this new process is going to be implemented during a Presidential Election, which scares her to death. Slockett said provisional ballots are going to slow the process down a lot. Dunham said Iowa is going to be the next Florida laughingstock because Iowa is going to have lines and lines of voters trying to figure out what forms of identification are acceptable.

Grandia said he had great difficulties in the 2008 General Election with a private college precinct. Some information about proper identification for same-day voter registration had been distributed. Taking away attesting would have made that even worse. He said in his opinion, this just makes it even more difficult. Every one of the students that he had trouble with at the 2008 General Election was registered in another county. Slockett said Johnson County had that problem in certain precincts too. Grandia said that problem is just going to be magnified now, and he is not sure what to do.

COMMENTS ON THE NEW ADMINISTRATION

Slockett said he felt very comfortable with Mauro and he strongly supported him. Some auditors regularly endorse people, but Slockett almost never does. He did endorse Mauro and felt very good about him. When Schultz came into office, it was very difficult. He said Mauro had just given his goodbye, which was very emotional and was an extraordinary talk. It swept everyone away and there were a lot of tears in people's eyes. Then, Schultz walked in. It was slightly awkward. He said he was the first person to start applauding, and the rest of the group joined him. He said auditors know that elections are very complicated although there is not really a public perception of this complexity, but he thinks awareness of this complexity is growing. He said Schultz is brand new in his position and is uncomfortable, which may be a part everything. He said ISACA should not write off Schultz.

Fokkena said in the private telephone conversation she finally had with Schultz, she told him that she has children his age. She said by now, most of the ISACA members accept Fokkena for what she is, but she informed Schultz that she is going to speak to him in a motherly way like she would speak to her children and asked him if that is OK. She said Schultz said sure. Fokkena said she told Schultz he has gotten off on the wrong foot, and he needs to work with the county auditors just as the county auditors need to work with him. There will come a day when Schultz does need the auditors to support him, just as they need Schultz to support them. She told Schultz that ISACA can be his best friend and that he needs to view ISACA as a partner. They cannot be opposed to one another.

Fokkena said she also asked Schultz during the telephone conversation what she can call him, Secretary of State Schultz or something else. She said Schultz said she can call him Matt, but she did think she needed to ask him what he wanted to be called. She said if he wanted to be called Secretary, she would then call him Secretary. She said Schultz visited her in Butler County during the summer of 2010 to ask her for support. She said that was very uncomfortable because she had to tell Schultz no, she supports Mauro, including financially and in television advertisements. Robertson said Schultz lives 15 miles away from her and did not even visit her county, even though she is a Republican. She said that blew her away.

Fokkena said she does not know why Schultz visited Butler County. She asked around and learned not very many counties received a visit from Schultz during the summer of 2010. She said she thinks Schultz is OK for the position, but she does not think he understands elections. She said she does not want to write him off, and she does want to work with him. She wants to be someone he can go to if he feels confused. She told Schultz that he must find half a dozen auditors in Iowa that he trusts, and he must start asking for advice and guidance because he is deeply involved in something he is unfamiliar with. He needs to surround himself with good people who he can trust.

Robertson said Schultz is getting his perspective from Struyk. Fokkena said Schultz is getting the perspective that everyone is the enemy, and Schultz did tell her that on the phone the other day. She said he keeps saying that Struyk has been hired to protect him. She had heard this comment multiple times and finally asked Schultz why he thinks he needs to be protected from ISACA. She said Schultz told her that he does not need Struyk to protect him from ISACA; he needs Struyk to protect him from the Iowa Legislature. She said she thinks that is the wrong foot to start off on because Struyk gives the impression of being tough and is a little intimidating.

Robertson said there was previously a "may/shall" issue being argued when she was at the Iowa Legislature. She asked Struyk if they can change that, saying it is a very simple issue, but it affects many things. She said Struyk said he was not even aware of the issue, and he went forward with one of these election topics and the change happened. This only happened because Struyk happened to be on that committee, and because he said nobody had said anything to him about the issue. She said she does not think Struyk is totally opposed to auditors.

Fokkena said she thinks Reisetter is very careful and much more thoughtful than she used to be. It is not that Reisetter was not always thoughtful of what she was saying, but now she is very careful of speaking out on anything. She is still testing those waters. She said Mosiman is professional, and she hopes Mosiman can represent both ideas. There is no point for ISACA and the Secretary of State's Office to be enemies. She said she is hopeful that Mosiman can occasionally step in and say when something will not work. Mauro had practical knowledge of what county auditors do and Schultz does not. She said that is basically why she supported Mauro.

Gill said Schultz mentioned that when he met with him to discuss Schultz's views of ISACA, Schultz made a comment about Republican auditors that did not support him. Fokkena said well then Schultz better darn well be nice to her because she will fight. Slockett said Schultz has to understand why that is and should not take it personally. Fokkena said that is what she tried to tell Schultz also. It was nothing personal. She is sure Schultz is a great guy, and she might have supported him in other circumstances. Moritz said this just shows how auditors can cross party lines if they think someone else is a better candidate for the position because they have been there and have the knowledge. Fokkena agreed. She said she hopes Schultz matures and that ISACA can help guide him.

Fokkena said Mauro knew what auditors do and knew that the world does not always revolve around elections. While county auditors are running elections, they are also working on payroll, claims, and clerking to the Board of Supervisors. These other tasks do not stop during election time, especially in small counties. Slockett said there are other responsibilities in big counties too. Fokkena said she did not mean it that way, but sometimes there is someone else available to clerk for the Board of Supervisors in a large county. Slockett said the level of involvement in larger counties is greater in all areas. He said when he was first elected Johnson County Auditor, Johnson County was a smaller county. Now, it is a larger county. He started out doing a lot of the work himself. Now he has staff, but there is still plenty to do. Fokkena said she will never be heard putting down big counties because the big counties can dig into some of these issues more than the other counties. Small counties do look to big counties for support on election issues all of the time. If a county has enough staff to have an Elections department, the small counties count on them. Slockett said he remembers Butler County loaning Johnson County blank ballots at one time, so it goes both ways. Fokkena said that is probably one of the only times when Butler County could help Johnson County. Slockett said Fokkena and Mary September 17, 2010 is have been very helpful.

ELECTIONS STANDING COMMITTEE CLOSING COMMENTS

Moritz asked if it is the Elections Standing Committee's process to bring their concepts back to the general population on February 5, 2011, not necessarily with a recommendation, but with a description of their concerns. She asked if a report is brought forward to the public after a meeting of the Elections Standing Committee. Slockett said the minutes are produced, but they would not make or issue a report unless the group decides to do something like that. The Elections Standing Committee's next level of reporting would be to the Executive Board. ISACA is having an extraordinary general session, but the duties are not really detailed in the bylaws. However, by its very nature, this would almost be the trump card because they are the people that elected all of the other positions.

Fokkena said she thinks they have to listen to what people in the audience are going to tell them that day. Her plan would be that if there is a written report from the Elections Standing Committee, she would first forward it to the Executive Board and then share it with the membership of ISACA. This is not a secret meeting. As soon as

anything in writing is available from any forum, she thinks they owe it to the entire membership to share that information so they can mull it over and begin to form their own opinion. It is possible that something will spark a great idea, and the person may bring that idea to the meeting scheduled for February 11, 2011.

Slockett said it is possible that this could be a moot point. The Iowa Legislature might have acted on the bill, but the Elections Standing Committee does not know. Fokkena said it could be done by then. She said she hates to think the Iowa Legislature would go forward, especially with so many people reaching out to county auditors. Dunham said the Democrats are the only people reaching out to her. Fokkena said she does not get along with her legislators, even though they are Republican and she is Republican. She said she voted Democrat. Slockett said Dubuque County Auditor Denise Dolan does not get along with her Democratic legislators, or she has not in the past. Fokkena said if someone knew Iowa State Senator Bill Dix, they would know why she does not vote for him.

Slockett asked Grandia if he made his point already. Grandia said it seems like there have been extraordinary circumstances since the first year with this legislation moving. They also have a set of bylaws that should be followed. In this case, the bylaws would be really hard to follow. He asked if more flexibility or mobility could be incorporated into the bylaws. ISACA has many good ideas, but he wants to know how that gets portrayed. The Iowa Legislature has been debating this topic right now, and they may already be done. He said Miller has left this meeting to speak to the Iowa Legislature as an individual. He said he thinks ISACA is fairly unified in their thoughts towards this issue, but are very limited in how they can proceed and how quickly to do this. They are limited because of the difficulty in aligning their schedules so they can meet and then writing a recommendation. He said he did not want to do it because he does not want to take the time to write a recommendation. He asked if there is some thought that could be put forth for some flexibility or mobility. Fokkena asked if Grandia is suggesting a way for ISACA to be more nimble in their ability to react to these things. Grandia said exactly. Slockett said he thinks the ability is there. He thinks the Executive Board can act in an extraordinary circumstance, and that is what this is. ISACA can have electronic meetings, if necessary.

Grandia said he respects the rules. If he was the Chairperson, he would not know when he would have time to write a report, and furthermore, he does not know how others get the job done. Many of the same people continually step up to be leaders, and he is thankful for them and the effort they put forth. He said he cannot imagine the amount of time people dedicate to the Elections Standing Committee or by doing research. He said Veeder attends national events, and he does not know how he does this. Everyone else sits back and watches the people who lead. They learn, listen, and make comments. Grandia repeated that he respects the rules, but asked if people could think about making improvements or adjustments to the bylaws. Fokkena said the bylaws are tweaked on occasion. Slockett said Grandia should talk to Veeder. Grandia said he hates to bring forth a problem or a question without suggesting a solution, which he does not have. He said he greatly appreciates all the effort put forth on this is.

Gill said he thinks it is there in the bylaws. ISACA can do that, especially because of the ability to meet electronically and by telephone. He said he thinks it is important. He said he runs for these leadership positions because he wants to have a voice. It is important to adhere to the bylaws. If the bylaws do not work the way that they are set up, then they should be changed. The best way to change a bad law is to enforce it. Slockett said Gill is a former State Legislator, so he really appreciates this need. People look to Gill, and to all of the auditors, for information. All of the auditors are receiving calls and emails from their representatives. Veeder said the representatives might already know how they are going to vote. Slockett said they are going to follow leadership. Fokkena said they do not know anything until leadership tells them what to do.

Grandia said they are making the busiest people do more. Fokkena said yes that is the way it always ends up. For the last several years she has been trying to reach out to get new people involved. Grandia said he is on the State Election Administrators Training (SEAT) Curriculum Committee. One of the reason he accepted the position was to get new people in. But now they are kind of in a bind because they have lost some of their people.

Slockett said Fokkena took great action to help with that situation. Grandia said everybody that leads is greatly appreciated. Fokkena said the busiest people stay the busiest. If someone wants something done, they ask the busiest people because those people can manage to get it done and they know how to meet deadlines. She thinks that as an association, they all need to try to reach out to some of those people who have been in office for at least a term. It is time for them to step up and take their turn. She said she cannot say she has thoroughly enjoyed the last three weeks of her ISACA presidency. She thinks the only reason she chose to be ISACA President is because she has been a county auditor for 12 years, and she needed to step up and take that responsibility; not everyone can say they will not do it. It is a lot of work, but she thinks ISACA is a strong association, and they cannot let these kinds of issues split the members down the middle. They can debate and disagree. Grandia said ISACA is fortunate that they do have people that will step up. Fokkena agreed. She said there are some new and younger people coming in who are really ready to get involved, and that is great.

Slockett said he thinks Carr is one of those people and he is really glad she is on the trip with the Exploratory Committee. Fokkena said Delaware County Auditor Carla Becker is another person. Slockett said Clinton County Auditor Eric Van Lancker is terrific. Fokkena said he is still the ISACA Secretary. Slockett said that is probably the toughest job of all. Fokkena agreed. She said she is tired of trying to put together agendas.

Slockett said those were great closing comments. He thanked everyone for coming. Gill moved to adjourn and Grandia seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Adjourned at 11:11 a.m.

Attest: Tom Slockett, Johnson County Auditor
By Nancy Tomkovicz, Recording Secretary

DRAFT